

Dear Dan,

Here is the transcription I told you about. I'll appreciate anything you can do to help expedite the book.

I have a sense of urgency to get the book done which makes me feel frustrated with the slowness of the project.

Any tapes you have or can find which would add to what I already have will be welcome.

I called Tom Williams again yesterday. He said he'd just begun to work on organizing and copying stuff about Tom, and would send copies to me soon as possible.

I am hoping Tom Sawyer can come for a weekend soon. We could really cover ground that way.

I wish we already had a publisher who was willing to help by giving an advance for travel expenses.

I think of you often and send love.

Sincerely,



Ms. Sidney Saylor Farr
Editor

SSF/jh

[Transcription of tapes made during Conference in Lynchburg, Virginia, July 19-25, 1987. Tom Sawyer arrived on Wednesday, July 22, and stayed until Saturday morning. Liberty Audio of Richmond, Virginia, were there taping the whole conference. I bought three tapes made of Tom's session on Thursday, July 23. Taped between 11:00 p. m. and 2:00 a.m. Tom had been talking to groups of people since around nine p.m.]

Woman Tom, I want to ask a question. We have been hearing recently about walk-ins. Are you a walk-in?

Tom I'm not one. No. As a matter of fact, if you take all the prerequisites--in other words what is a walk-in?-- and you list all the things down the line and there's twelve or more. Put my name up there and check off every single one, except one. {Woman: What's that?} Permission.

Let me put it this way. With the scenario that took place during my near-death experience, permission on my part would have had to take place before.

Woman Couldn't it have been given before you was born? That you had given permission and that you came into this life with the understanding that you might have been a walkin?

Tom Oh, wow! Why're you making things so difficult?

Woman I just think that's a possibility. I don't think all the walkins realize they've given permission. I don't believe that after they are walkins that they realize they've given permission.

Tom Well now that you've mentioned that--that's the first time I've heard that. That is a possibility. I don't like the idea. I was joking a minute ago when I said I know one or two. I don't know any personally, at a personal level. But yeah, the idea's a valid one.

A couple of years ago, whenever that was, somebody either wrote a letter or called Ruth Montgomery and asked specifically if I was a walk-in. (I don't know if there was a fee involved or not.) Anyway, she did her little *Um Shanty* or whatever and decided that I was. I have to be really

honest. It was one of the few things that I was actually offended at. was

For something of that nature, I would have thought she might have checked with me or asked permission-- of me or of anybody. It's not quite the same as saying, "Oh Gee, you're a wonderful teacher," or something casually like that. But to publicly give someone a title that had so many prerequisites-- You know what I'm saying. Even if she decided that I was, or if it was a case of automatic writing--and I'm afraid that there is something like that in that situation-- I was slightly offended.

It's come to pass that I do not have any privacy. I volunteered not to have any privacy with a very few exceptions. My life is an open book. There are a few exceptions--yesterday I refused to answer one or two things. One question was regarding any information possible about my life prior to my birth in 1945. I didn't wish to discuss that at all. Not that there's anything to hide but there are one or two things to keep confidential. And not dwell on. And oh a couple of things say in Elaine's life, or her privacy--things like that. But otherwise there's really nothing in my life--

[Woman in audience asked a question about next month, August 16th and 17th, when the harmonic convergence is supposed to take place. She asked for Tom to comment on that and also on what horrors we might expect in the next ten years.]

Tom Yes. From one extreme to the other. Really, I do anticipate the 16th and 17th. It will be a good time. There'll be a few people who'll be frustrated on that day and I can only point my finger at them individually. That frustration will only be say from too much information or too much energy, too soon. And they're not able to handle it, and they get excited, or verbally get into trouble with a spouse. It is something that is very individualistic and has nothing to do with the flow of things on the 16th and 17th.

Something like a year ago I circled those dates on my calendar--which means they're a little more meaningful than some other dates. I wrote to myself: "Take a trip and hang on." Now that was kind of symbolic; it certainly didn't mean get on an airplane and take a trip, or run away, or anything like that. It probably meant there's going to be something exciting or something sensational or extra information, or something of that

nature. To take a trip might mean symbolically to do a Tom Sawyer personal *On Shanty!* Just be home and be still, and be alone. And the way it stands right now I probably will be either right within my house or in the Rochester area. And preferably alone, rather than to be talking with anybody.

We have a small group that has been meeting quit regularly. We don't have a schedule or anything like that, but on a given Wednesday or a Monday-- What happens is just a bunch of people call up-- The go-between has been a Mary Ann Diannacone [spelled the way it sounded on tape] --and a hair dressing salon. So Mary Ann and Joey-- We'd use the hair dressing salon as a meeting place. We just get together and do what we're doing right now: just sit down and talk and share and so on. We've done that at least once a month, maybe twice a month. We'd meet quite regularly. That [harmonic convergence] was discussed at least six months ago, the better part of a year ago, and at that time everything was really very ambiguous. I just said, "Well, let's see what's coming up?" I looked at my calendar and said, "Well, there's something quite exciting on the 16th and 17th.

What I'm telling you is very ambiguous. I knew that was a special date; and that I could not know specifically is there a name for that day? Is it a holiday, a feast day? Am I going to have a party or anything like that? Then, just with intuitive feeling I started asking, "Well, what do you think that may mean?" It kind of developed that way. Now a minor problem took place in that, in the spirit of things, and in discussion, there were a few suggestions that maybe we should meet on those two days.

I was very specific about this is most meaningful the sunrise of the 16th and the sunset of the 17th. It seemed curious that it was a Sunday and a Monday, as opposed typically, if I'm going to schedule a party or something, it would be on a weekend. I actually asked the group if that was meaningful to anybody, does anybody know what that date is? It is typical of me to set aside a date as it comes on the calendar. I would know I'd better not do anything on that day because I will probably have to be some where. And then the day before I'll get a phone call: "Well, Tom, I'll see you tomorrow." I'll say, "Oh really?" "Well, yes, you'll be in Chicago, aren't you?"

We discussed if we should meet and I said, "Well, if we do I'd rather have a small group. And we don't really have anybody who is a priority-- We might just put a bunch of names in a hat and pick a few. Then someone

suggested that we meet somewhere where geographically we could get a good view of the sunrise on the 16th, and then disband for the rest of that day and the better part of the next day and meet somewhere else to get a good view of the sunset. That seemed like a pretty good idea and we started following up on that.

There were several suggestions around the Rochester area. The best one that I came up with was better than a three-hour drive. That was kind of tough on people since it was to be on a Sunday and a Monday. To be somewhere at sunset on a Monday night would mean at least a three-hour drive back to their houses and their job sites Tuesday morning.

A couple or three weeks ago we met and I said, "You know, something has taken place and I hope I'm not going to offend anybody here but we've kind of got out of hand. We've kind of gone off on a tangent and from an original thing on my calendar saying that I will probably be home and be alone to all of a sudden we're meeting for this party type affair, not knowing what's coming up and what to do. Again which is okay in a way, but it's kind of gone off on a tangent to either more or different than what I anticipated.

So I thought at that time that it would really be in my best interest-- And I would even apologize to the people who have kind of anticipated meeting in a party atmosphere or whatever and I'm now kind of backing out of it. That was okay with everybody; in fact one couple said, "Originally, we had planned to go away that weekend and be in the Adirondack mountains." I said, wow, perfect. Others said things would work out okay for them, etc. So that's how the two dates developed.

In the last several months I've talked to many people on the phone and I come to find out those dates coincide with such things--and maybe some of you people can help me come up with the exact title that, in Catholicism, is the beginning of the Marian year, is that correct? I had a feeling towards that. I said I thought those dates would coincide with many different things; that some people would say "Well, coincidentally, that's also the date of--" And then it's not really too usual that a holiday or feast day is 48 hours, usually they're in a single day. And if you plan something, it's from sunup to sunset.

About six months ago I realized--and I don't know the name of the group, and I would just as soon not know the name--but that there would be a large group of people meeting in Mexico or New Mexico, down thataway.

And that it would have something to do with a tree, a specific tree. And as opposed to a big maple tree it would be one that was scrawny like a [bristol-cone] pine tree. And that down there somewhere is this specific tree that is usually recognized as a kind of special tree, and a very spiritual tree, and wherever this tree is, the immediate area is a healing center or a special place. So I got that impression and I thought that anywhere from hundreds to possibly thousands of people might be meeting there on that day to receive whatever. Now if that group has a ritual, or a name for it, or anything special in mind, that probably would not offend me.

I'm quite sure that a large group of people will be meeting there. And that's okay. It will not include me. I didn't think that that's where I'm supposed to be. I didn't feel that way at all. As it stands right now I will probably be home and be alone. I think that's really the best thing for me. By saying that, I'm not necessarily suggesting to anybody that you should be at your home and be still and be alone--although if you have nothing better to do that's perfect!

I think it's going to be one of those things where there will be a major uplifting of mankind in general and that it's going to hit starting--and never pinpoint something by clock time! There are those few occasions where twelve o'clock noon, or one or two o'clock may be significant, but usually when it comes time for a feast day, a regular calendar--and please trust me on this--is usually off by at least 24 hours. So when you pick a certain time of the year, saying in the middle of warm weather-- Well, that's as accurate as I wish to be anyway! For instance, Christmas Day--well, if you retrogress, go backwards in time following the calendar, you're not going to make it. You would end up about seven or eight days off, more than a week off, if you chronologically go back and pick on the 24th or the 25th. Christmas day. I'm never too concerned about either being a little too early or too late.

I would recommend that you set that time aside [meaning the 16th and 17th of August] if you can afford it. And then, if I dare suggest beyond that, make it a quiet time rather than a busy time. In other words, that's not necessarily the best two days to be chopping down all the trees and stacking firewood if you don't have to. If that's what you had planned, do it, fine. And if a great big ball of energy sneaks up behind you and knocks you down or something--well lie still enjoy it!

I do think that there will be a very large group of individuals who don't know each other, they're not related in any way, they're not part of the same title group, but as far as their spiritual receptivity they're similar in a way. In other words, they have a similar receptivity that within 24 hours on either side of the 16th or 17th they will be experiencing and doing something rather specifically that has been coordinated not by any group or by any single person. Certainly not by me!

In that group of people, there will be many doing similar things, therefore we can call them a group. They will be channeling--and please don't quote me on this, don't use the same words or anything--they will be replacing hectic or chaotic or negative energy and replacing it with a much purer or lighter love type energy. (I'm being that ambiguous because if there's specific geographic areas that require that, and of course that can be a personal decision.)

In other words, I may just decide that the University of Rochester needs a little uplifting, so I may focus on that or concentrate on that. And if something transpires, then fine, I may have been a channel or a catalyst again. In other words, "Let Thy Will be done."

But I know that that is going to take place on a large scale. In other words, to throw numbers at you, there'll be at least a thousand, and maybe thousands of those individuals doing their thing on those 48 hours. And also, as the sun rises around the earth--since we have 12 hours difference--it would actually be the sunrise at the different locations. In other words, using an hour's difference through the time zone. So, we'll be ahead of California. We may get all kinds of tingly sensations and the poor dude in California is sitting around wondering when it's going to come there. But again, don't worry about the exact hour or the exact time.

If you like the sound of this idea and this date, and you have no suggestions in your own intuitive knowledge or your own mind, I might recommend as I have before, since I've arrived in this location, that you might be awake and be refreshed 15 or 20 minutes before actual sunrise, depending on where you are. In other words, if this is a valley and the sun comes up over a mountain well whatever time that is as opposed to newspaper sunrise for the whole state. Or the time zone that you happen to be in. It will have come that that will be a very precious time in your life, spiritually speaking; and then never mind so much the full forty hour

duration of that time--because so many of us could never meditate that long, or be still. If you can, at least set aside the sunset on the 17th and allow that to go on all night if you want it to, or at least for ten or fifteen minutes beyond the actual sunset.

So I guess what it is is one of those opportunities that is very, very individualistic. Do I dare use a word like novices, or seekers, or whatever you may consider yourself. It's open to anybody and everybody; it's also open to any age. Little children that can't speak English yet--or whatever language they want to speak--people who know nothing at all about spiritual anything. They may have a spontaneous spiritual awakening of a very dramatic and even to a degree traumatic, because sometimes a spiritual awakening, like a cundelini experience, can be quite physically and emotionally unnerving.

Hopefully in the long run, the individual that experiences that can just sort that out, basically speaking, for his or her self. I certainly know that has happened to individuals who have had to run around very chaotically searching for a teacher whether they know it or not. And need assistance and help within the next couple of days, weeks, and months.

So that's basically what those dates represent to me right now. And I'm sure that you can hear the way I'm beating around the bush and in my voice I'm purposefully not saying too much. I have a little bit more information that is for me, personally, and has nothing at all to do with you. If it turns out that the same thing has to do with you, fine! Isn't that wonderful? But I don't want to use the power of suggestion to implant ideas or anything much more specific than that.

[A question here about earth changes in the next ten years]

Tom Well, first of all I'll start out by saying that I don't really feel--and this is reasonably accurate--I sure hope there's not some earthquake somewhere within that 48 hour period. Starting with the 16th and 17th, or from now to then, there may be some isolated events. I did shoot my mouth off and say that during the time period there was going to be a specific type difficulty with air traffic, meaning airplanes. Having nothing to do with my flight home, of course! I did have to stop in Baltimore and be the last one off the plane so that I could speak with

somebody from the cabin or co-pilot or hopefully the mechanic and suggest to them that the right hand motor be looked at because it's making an awful knock!

(Another comment from woman who didn't feel her question was answered)

Tom Well, again, like so many things there are so many options, and the options are contingent on each of us, individually, and all of us collectively, the attitude of everybody around the earth. You wouldn't believe the effect that a chain or a homogeneousness of positive attitude would have on the weather, on physical things, on people's careers, on people's jobs, things like that. It would be ten times more noticeable than the usual power of prayer type result. In other words, if there was a way of pushing a button changing everybody's attitude to becoming a little more positive--boy, I wouldn't hesitate at all; it would be a great thing.

I'll start off from the 16th and 17th and go through the next coming spring. Springtime--before the middle of the summer of 1988--I may find it necessary to do something as ridiculous as travel all the way to the Middle East. I had actually started making some plans--by plans I mean, "Is this possible? Do I have the ability to go there? Is this anything real or am I just getting a little fanatical because I've been fervently pondering on a specific location in that part of the world. Why am I then not on the other side of the world? What am I doing standing around here?" So that might very well develop and that represent-- Who knows what anybody can do? Sometimes you show up somewhere thinking that this is a problem area, and if nothing tangible has developed, maybe I'm supposed to be there to help someone change a tire! Or get their car started. Or help bring a crop in or lead a healing service, or do a meditation, or meet with ten thousand people. Again, it's really quite casual to me the things that I do hour by hour. And lovingly speaking, I don't care. This here is just like ideal, with air conditioning, comfortable seating, This is perfect. But if I were outside fixing someone's tire, I'd be just as happy. I might have a little dirtier hands but that would give me an excuse to cut my fingernails.

I really hope that most of us have an ongoing general attitude that here is spiritual manipulation and it's usually good as opposed to bad. If you start experiencing some negative, or bad, or irritating manipulation or

spiritual anything you need to sort of stand up--I don't mean physically to stand up--but just take notice and say:"Stop!" Say "No!" We are all individuals and being in the human condition is really magnificent because you are an individual. You know you talk about the gift of God. Well, one of the greatest things in the creation of mankind is what I call act of free will.

And what that basically means to me is that you have a decision-making characteristic that you can accept or reject anything during your lifetime. I mean anything. You may be robbed and beaten up, and you can't stop that--in other words it may take place. But even something as destructive as that, and as traumatic as that, you have the ability to mentally, emotionally, psychologically accept it or reject it.

And you can have it become the most traumatic experience of your life or you can totally reject it and get over the physical hurt within a couple of days or even a couple of weeks, and have it not affect your life at all. That may sound very strange to people, especially, you know, God love someone who's gone through something like that. A physical beating, a rape, a loss of finances or something that is very traumatic. But you really do have the ability to reject any and all of those things.

But more specifically and more usual, if you get a bit or a piece of information, you can be as fanatical as you want to be and jump up and down and wave your hands, make a lot of noise, immediately sell your car and go traipsing off to western Lebanon and put up a tent and put out a shingle and say "free healings here, free hugs." In other words, you can be responsible for almost anything that you might think of and want to do. And hopefully that you will be responsible and not just go a little too much with the flow, again, a degree of fanaticism.

But starting any time now, because we are close enough to the beginning of 1988, and I have kind of singled that year out-- I even mentioned earlier that it could be as early as this fall season. I really don't anticipate too awful much extra-terrestrial anything, meaning meteroites, outright UFOs, or visually noticeable by everybody spontaneous spiritual arrivals. (I kind of like that!)

But any rate, as early as this fall, but more probably starting in the fall of 1988, there will be massive amounts of things. But even if they be physical things such as meteroites, well that qualifies, providing you

notice that those things have of a much greater frequency than whatever normal is. In other words, if this area usually experiences one hundred noticeable meteroites, in the fall season of 1988, well I'm talking in the terms of five, six, seven hundred as major increase in frequencies, and also intensities.

In other words, if before now you have seen beautiful green streaks across the sky from shooting stars, you may see outright explosions, and even some catastrophies-- For example, a meteroite hitting the surface would be catastrophic and could do severe damage to buildings and people, or whatever. Or have those things of metallic--like iron ore-- actually hit the ground and be salvageable. In other words, have the scientists find it and actually carry it away. Or if it be an ice thaw, to have such a violent reaction as it hits perpendicular as opposed to skipping off our atmosphere, having that very violent reaction which is the equivalent of a nuclear explosion. It has the potential for that. Don't misunderstand me. I'm not right now saying there's going to be an equivalent nuclear explosion by the fall of 1988. No, more like starting with a great increase in the intensity, in the frequency, of those type of things.

Now if it not be meteroites then it certainly will be the influx of massive amounts of spiritual energy. I'll just say it like that because we all know what I'm talking about. At least basically, and if you don't know what I'm talking about just write it down and when it happens-- that's what I was talking about!

Someone called me on the phone and asked me about a very specific dated precognition, and granted it was described in a little ambiguous way, in spiritual terms and so on. There was the initial phone call and then they called me back a second time for clarification of what did I mean by that? I said, well rather than take up all the phone time, why don't you call me back tomorrow? "Oh, okay, Tom, sure. If you're busy, sure I'll call you tomorrow night about seven o'clock." Seven o'clock next night he called and I said, "That's what I was talking about!" It was great; I loved it. Some things they get so serious and so intensive about, that all we can do is laugh hysterically.

[Another woman asked something about extra terrestrials]

Tom Yeah, just to go on the record--you haven't heard this from me--as a rule I shy away from physical type encounters. The flying-saucer type of thing; the gray disk coming down and so on. If you wanted to get into descriptions of ambiguous light forms well, fine, that's a little more accurate. And then if you wanted to describe them as lighted disks, and thousands as opposed to a few, or a sighting (rather than a single sighting somewhere) of many hundreds or thousands simultaneously, yeah. That's getting pretty close for stuff like that.

Again, I'm being very ambiguous; I don't have any dates or things like that. But I have been on record for the last eight years of saying around the time, starting from late in 1988-- Now of course, being an olympic year--I kind of pick on those as starting and stopping points. It has been typical of me to say, "Well, within the next four years--" There's nothing between the four years--that's just practice time! Also, realizing that there is 1988 and 1992, it will certainly be before the turn of the century. Again, a phrase meaning a general period of time. Don't ever pinpoint midnight, twelve o'clock, New Year's Eve, as the turn of the century. That's okay for rituals and parties and things like that, but again, time is so irrelevant when it comes to spiritual matters--and so many of the things that we're referring to are based in spiritual matter as opposed to geographical or chronological events.

A couple of years ago, four, five, or six years ago, I casually mentioned something rather spontaneously to a group of people that, "Time is speeding up!" I just sort of said it and then hesitated for a minute and then talked into that a little bit. And I still go along with that. And to cover all the bases at that time and what I'll tell you now is that I was making reference to actual physical time. And I even mean that our clocks, our physical implements, our clocks, the atomic clocks. I'm curious to really verify if it would include such scientific clocks as measuring an A or the pulsar in which the rate has to do mechanically, to see if ever so slightly one split, split of a second within a year. But in geographical times that can be very, very significant.

It would be like the equivalent of one-half of one degree in temperature of the entire United States. I mean you walking across the campus will never notice it, but that's very, very significant when it comes to the

outcome of our crops and our weather patterns. The slightest of changes has dramatic effects later on down the line or in time when it comes to greater things such as weather patterns.

But between now and the turn of the century I see an awful lot of things that will be construed as catastrophic and negative. But I personally don't interpret them as that. I mean if many, many thousands of people have to lose their lives-- bear with me when I say: "So what?" I mean that happens all the time anyway. You have to be born, you have to live a while, and you have to die. And if that is in the process of cleansing Mother Earth, well okay. [End of Side A-1]

[Beginning Side A-2]

Tom I spoke briefly to a smaller group, since I've been here, that if a meteroite shower intensifies within the next full year, and it starts creating a great deal of damage, that as opposed to: "Oh, my God! This is the chastizement," which is often so typical of catholicism and scripture and prophecies, I would recommend that you not think that. That even though it will have the potential to be catastrophic, that it is not a chastizement. Quite the contrary. It is a very loving purification in a natural way.

As opposed to punishment, it may include death and destruction, but for a river to change course, that's natural. And even if that huge meander of a major river might change the boundaries of a city or town, or wipe out a couple of farms, well, that's ordered by God. That's not ordered by the farmer.

So be as comfortable as you can be with some of those changes. And if you haven't heard by now, I'm basically quite over optimistic with so many things. Things that are very dramatic and traumatic--just keep a positive attitude. Because how it affects you, there's an interrelationship with what you deserve by God and what is available to you. And what can you do to either enhance or cushion something that you'll be judgmental about? Are there any more questions specifically about changes between now and the turn of the century?

Woman Tom, I've heard some comments about-- That you know, I believe, the time when you are going to die.

Tom Well, I spoke out of turn a couple of times in the last several years and have said that there is the strongest of probabilities that I won't see the turn of the century. To be as ambiguous as possible. I guess what I really want I want to say, irrespective of a particular date or an inference of the next couple of years, is-- too bad! Bye! If I get a chance to leave, I hope you appreciate that-- I've gotta get some sleep sometime!

[Another short comment from someone in audience]

Tom Well, there have been several accomplishments, that I didn't know about ahead of time, that I have under my belt now, that have been fulfilled. I don't feel as though, whatever my total job-- In fact I haven't finished this evening! Whatever my total job is that I am all set and will just bide my time, make sure I'm dressed well that day. [Several people said something about being sure he had on clean underwear; much laughter]

Woman You don't see the devastation between now and 2,000 that's been predicted in other places, or in some places?

Tom Well actually yes. In the past I've really been very specific and the closer that time comes the probability is the less specific I'll get. I've had a tendency to do that lately. Again, everything is contingent on so many other things. It's not the kind of thing where you can say, "It's not quite three o'clock yet." There are a few precognitions that you can count on like that, that I even have right to within an hour or so.

Woman But are there certain things that we've gone so far now in pollution that certain things must happen--

Tom Yeah, that either spiritually implemented or it could be a cushioning effect towards more spiritual and more positive and more love as opposed to devastation. Sure, all of that. Those options are available right up and including the event.

I mean, don't ever dismiss the possibility of a universal or a cataclysmic miracle. I'm talking about something as extravagant as having

something of a devastating nature be right at hand and have it absolutely stopped. It's awfully optimistic to think about, but what I'm saying is don't be surprised if something like that takes place. I mean *this is the most extraordinary time* in the last ten thousand years. There are things going on that we can't comprehend. We in the human condition have just no comprehension for the immensity of the measurement.

There isn't anybody in this room including myself that has any real comprehension of how many miles it is to Saturn. It is not several thousand miles; it's really physically incomprehensible. And then to multiply that in a spherical pattern--that's simply the radius. Imagine that in a spherical pattern. How much volume is that? There's no sense in even giving it dimensions. When things are moving on that level--I mean our little scampering on the surface here is almost irrelevant. It can be irrelevant. So there are just all these options.

Woman Are you saying we're getting help from outer space?

Tom Absolutely. And this is in full swing right now. There was a very large volume and intensity of spiritual energy that came into our atmosphere, or surrounded the earth, or hid out, or was able to be measured by many, many people around the first of this year. And if it's any consolation at all, I had a real tough time with it. Now I'm saying it was a wonderful thing, it was very positive. And I was bothered by outright physical ailments. I was stuffed up, having a tremendous sinus type pressure, the onset of headaches that had to be dealt with.

I also anticipated it as absolutely positive. But I'm being honest in saying I had a really tough time. I had a tough time doing that balancing of my job, my being a husband and a father, and all the various other things I'm involved in on a daily basis. If I could have had my druthers, I would have run to the mountaintop, to be still and be alone, to be a little more a part of it than that which I was. Outright energy-wise and different from that just information-wise, I had to really fight some of that. In other words there was enough energy available that I had to shun it to not become fanatical, or fly off the handle--or even fly off the surface!

In other words, it was there, it was available and most of that is still here right now. This isn't something that needs any explanation for a lot of

people. That's going on. That was the onset of several major attempts for this world-wide enhancement that we're in the midst of already. And of course that basic period of time is between now and the turn of the century. Again, never mind the calendar time. I mean if it's two thousand and ten--so what?

You have to recognize that a lot of spiritual things don't fit into your personal schedule. And if you think you are a key figure, well that can be a rude awakening. Just about the time you've got everything in control, somebody's just going to yank the rings right out from under you or away from you.

Be very, very proud that you are alive right now, that you are an integral part of all of this in spite of all of our lack of very specific understanding of a lot of these things. At the rate I'm going, there's the strongest of probabilities-- Well, at the rate things are going and with what I've been doing and so on, I'd be very pleased to suggest that certainly by the mid-90s that my job will be over with. And that will be delightful. Of course Elaine doesn't want to hear about things like that because that might also include the extent of my longevity. And still being close enough to my mid-40s, and we can be judgmental and say well gee, you should at least live to be fifty or so, or 80 or so. So, what's a couple of years? You know I really feel that way.

[Woman making indistinct comment]

Tom What I'm really trying to say is I'm not going to be the least bit disappointed if I can make a major move and be-- [Tom emotional] --more delightful by the mid-1990s. I'm afraid that the probability is that I'm going to be stuck here for two more Olympic seasons, but maybe not a third!

Also you know because I've sensationalized the Olympic games and the Olympic seasons, that's one of the things that may be utterly devastated as a result of major changes. That's become so popular and so powerful and may become so politically lucrative that the delightful (as we think of the Olympic games) all of the amateurs of the world meeting in a single location for the purposes of honest competition. Well, sorry to say but that's a thing of the past.

In 1968 I learned first-hand that it was absolutely asinine the way in

which individuals are kept-- It's not a group of just amateurs, it's not even a group of amateurs anymore. So like so many things that have been handed down culturally, greed, ego, and politics, have got their greedy little hands in there and made really quite a mess of things. This is one of those things where you have to say, well, I have a memory for when things were nice. It's like reminiscing about the good old days, keep it as a fond memory of the good old days. But things are going to dramatically change. Drive-In movies are pretty much a thing of the past. Some very ordinary things are going to have to also change.

One person--I went off on a tangent about some bothersome things and he said, "Well, my God., that sounds boring!" I immediately snapped right back at him: "Well, that beautiful unconditional love in spiritual life with no money, no food, and no sex, might sound awfully boring to you--but trust me, you're going to absolutely adore it.

[I made a statement about letting go of things and how hard it was if you were very emotionally attached to something or somebody. Tom looked straight at me and replied and he sounded like he knew exactly what I had reference too. Later on I found out this is very typical of him]

Tom That's something that you have to deal with for yourself individually. You can read all the books in the world, you can go to all the assertive management courses, psychological courses, self-help groups, for any and all of the things that you are referred too. Seek a spiritual teacher for assistance and guidance, go to the top of the mountain, you know it covers all that stuff. And so often you do have to go through that whole process; expenditures, travels, efforts, massive amounts of energy, to find out that you can say, "It's fine; bye." That's an awfully ambiguous way to answer you but--sure it's difficult and the only initial thing I can say is that's where the power of positive thinking comes in. I mean, you like the security of things that are usual because they're generally predictable. What's the matter with the unpredictable? I mean, the next time you start out on that trip, especially if it's a vacation, anticipate a change, eagerly look forward to a change. Instead of saying, "I'm on my way to Buffalo, how did I end up in Syracuse?" just smile and say, "Wow, I'm in Syracuse!"

You know, given it's the basic power of positive thinking, but my

goodness, that's been forced onto me. I really had no choice. For awhile, things got so ridiculous that I was really afraid to tell Elaine where I was going, because I would never end up there. She finally asked me to stop saying, "Whoops, I was supposed to turn there!" It got ridiculous. First of all I should say that I've always been very, very accurate on directions. I've always been one of those people that you could blindfold, spin around and around, and I could turn and point directly to my house, regardless of where I was in the world.

Another Woman Can I ask a question? I've heard just recently that the old karmic records--books--have been closed. Do you have any comment--?

Tom Well, symbolically speaking, I really like the sound of what you just said. I've had only one other hint towards something like that. I understand what you're talking about and I really like that idea, in fact that's timed perfectly. Now what we're talking about is not this date, we're talking about a ten-year period of time, maybe even a twenty-year period of time. But yes, certainly, sure. And bear with my ignorance right now, but if anybody knows something specifically about the Mayan Calendar and has the ability to extend it into these dates, in other words add on two thousand-- No, it'd be more than that-- It'd be two, three, four thousand years, whatever it might be and bring it up into these dates, accurately at all, well my goodness you might come up with the specific month when you can expect a miracle.

Woman I have one more question. Would you explain specifically what sin is?

Tom Oh wow, wonder if I can! Well, first of all, that's a relative term. From my understanding it's certainly used in American Catholicism meaning, uh, uh, you did a bad thing, and you're gonna be chastised and thoroughly chastised by God, the local priest--

[She wanted to know if there was karma in our relationship with God]

Tom Well, if you want to use that word, and in fact you have used it, then:

"Yes, there is." When you're dishonest with yourself, you are sinning before God. If you create a bad situation either through ignorance or a mistake or a failed attempt at something, that's usually not a sin. It doesn't necessarily coincide with criminal law and even sometimes basic moral law. But spiritually speaking, there's not quite the same justice system and justification as any of the other rules and regulations.

In other words, let's list all the sins of circumstances, individual acts and deeds, and of course get into sins of commission and sins of omission, well, that's a little more ambiguous when you get sins of omission. Now for me not to do something that I'm aware of is an absolute horrible sin for me. (And I will be held accountable, spiritually speaking.) But that same situation of omission on so many other people wouldn't even be considered a bad thing. It would be, oh I missed an opportunity there. Again it depends on prior knowledge, it depends a lot on knowledge. So I'm saying in that situation, ignorance is an excuse. If you do not do something that would have been good, or if you purposefully do something that is bad, and I think a great deal of that is the way in which that situation really, honestly, affects you and or affects someone else around you. Again, I'm saying it that way because we're not giving examples.

For me to be on an Expressway and go on by a downed car, especially with a family in it, because I'm a little bit late, in all probability would be a sin. [Question from audience: Is the same thing true for us, too?] No not necessarily because first of all you may not have the skills and techniques of mechanics in that particular situation. And then on the other hand, yes it may be if you are one of those servant type people and you have a quarter for a telephone, or a CB Radio or something--a can of gas, a tow chain, or just a loving smile--it may very well be a sin for you.

[Question from audience: Suppose you had somebody waiting for you to do something for them?] Well, there's the tradeoff. You're hit with a priority. And what is the priority instantly and initially?

And how often do priorities change! "Well, I don't care if you like it or not, I absolutely have to be there." And a minute later there's a flat tire, or you break your arm. "No matter what happens, I'm going to be there!" You get sick or get severely hurt and boy, it's amazing how priorities change. When your physical health stands in the way, suddenly that thousand dollars that you have in the bank is worthless or very precious.

And it will change within a couple of hours.

Don't be afraid to change your priorities. You have a list of things that you should do and you want to do, and then all of a sudden, whimsically, things change, a group disbands, or a new group forms, and there you want to go with the flow. Now that's bouncing back and forth, that's not really going with the flow. Jumping back and forth between the breezes.

[Woman held up her hand until she got Tom's attention and asked something about animals--why they have to suffer? Indistinct]

Tom First of all, very bluntly, they don't suffer like you think they suffer. They react to stimuli and instinct. We think things out beforehand, and after the fact. They don't. There's a minimal of planning with most animals. Very, very few animals--there are some! There's a little bird that gets a stick and uses the stick as a tool. That was supposedly an impossibility in that range of animals. And yet there's the exception to the rule, this little bird that used a little thistle or stick to get out termites. When you see a deer in the woods with a broken leg--a compound fracture--and it's hobbling along. Infection is setting in and gangrene is setting in; and there you are. My goodness, shall I shoot it? A mercy killing? Do I try to capture it and try to heal it with our medicine, or do / *love it enough to let it be natural?* There's the problem you have: Let it walk away with infection and physically hurting and so on--let it be natural? The answer is yes to that because that's nature. It's not a callousness; that's the way things are. There's no justice in nature--only nature.

[Woman asks: You have to decide for yourself? If your dog's got arthritis and in pain--]

Tom You have to do what you have to do. In other words you're taking on the responsibility in your life that you are, and you have voluntarily become attached to, a dog. Or a tree. Or anything. You've taken on that responsibility; you've set all the rules. And those rules for you are entirely different than universal rules. The problem with that is the minute you gain an attachment for anything, you're taking on great

responsibility and you are making yourself so utterly vulnerable to the actual rules and regulations of nature. You are vulnerable to that. That loving dog that is a comfort, a companion, it's your dog!

[Woman says: But you've had a dog for years and now it's old and in pain. Do you let nature take its course, let the dog die, or do you out of mercy put it down?]

Tom Okay, I'll answer as I see it. Naturally, in a natural way, I can say that you have taken on the responsibility of domesticating that animal, therefore your responsibility is also within that dog. You are attached to it that way. That dog is not a wild animal, it does not fit into the natural wild anymore. You have destroyed that, you have taken that away from the dog. Now, to tell you on the realistic side, I have people in the Rochester area, who know me quite well. And I've been chosen in the last year three times for -- well, the scenario you gave: the old dog with arthritis right up to just one front paw, and just utterly devastated. And I have, because they could not, taken that dog to the vet and have the dog put to sleep. I have done that; and I am glad to have been of service to the owner and the dog. That's the reality side of it.

But I also have an honest side that I want to tell you as a natural law, that might not necessarily be the most correct thing to do. To take a dog like that and carry it way out into the woods where its nobody's property and that type of thing. That is not cruel in allowing that animal to suffer and die--it's in the natural order of things. Now there's that tradeoff--now wait a minute, Tom, you said that the domesticated animal is not a wild animal! I can understand that a wild animal, where it has a broken leg and infection and takes many days to suffer and hurt and die, I can understand that. But now you're saying that the domesticated animal is our responsibility and that's overriding of natural law, and now you're contradicting yourself. You're saying that you're taking another domesticated dog who is very old and dying and now you're putting him out in the woods and allowing him to suffer for more days and let it pass on.

Well, there's the ambiguity; you can't draw the line as to what is right and what is wrong. You can have rules and regulations, and the inner relation that to that, there are situations that, and if you need a rule of

thumb whatever your intuitive knowledge is of what to do at that time. And be prepared to have the ability to make a mistake. And say, gee I should not have done that; I feel bad. Fine. Get the emotion, feel bad, make it your history, accept it; forgive it, and move on with what you have to do. That sounds awfully callous; but then you can incorporate that into bereavement.

When your spouse or loved one dies, that's natural; deal with the emotions; they're real. It's not unreal; you lose someone, you lose that attachment, let go of it--you're missing something. Cry. Feel bad. Go through a period of time. It's debatable as to how much time. It may be a couple of weeks before: hey, let's get going, let's get back to work; you have a full-time job.

Woman You brought bereavement up. Is it true that when someone dies and you grieve for them an inordinately long time, that this holds them back? Is that detrimental to them?]

Tom Yes it is detrimental. You know--bear with me anybody in the audience who is currently in a bereavement situation, God bless you, let me just say it bluntly to get the information out. An extended bereavement is nothing but selfish. That's awfully harsh, and you have to be very careful to make that announcement to a person in a bereavement situation. It may be a year, or even three years later that you would dare make an announcement like that to a person. Or maybe a couple of weeks later for another person.

My mother and myself, when my father passed on-- I would dare say for me it was oh one-tenth of a second. I'm making a little joke in a way but it was instantaneous; it was absolutely okay. I was a little bit jealous--ha! In fact I was speeding down the New York State Thruway to try to get to the hospital in time, because of a promise I had made him not to take the day off if I knew which day it was.

I didn't say anything about getting out of work before time and driving like a maniac. I made it a little over halfway--because I had to drive from Rochester to Rome, New York, so around the Syracuse area--and I was in such a hurry I didn't have my wrist watch or anything like that. So I was driving close to eighty miles an hour and spontaneously, absolutely, I had an

actual physical warming--that was a little curious because as opposed to just the heart warming it actually went into my skin and I got quite warm. It was not an embarrassing, blushing type of feeling, but a warm feeling all over my body. I lifted my foot right up off the gas, dropped down to about sixty, fit in with the traffic a little better, although there was hardly any traffic at all. And I had the biggest smile on my face. I felt just magnificent; and as close as I can figure, to be scientific about it, that was the instant that he made the release. Now what does that mean? Was he clinically dead? Was he actually dead or, you know, how much dead was he? That was his psychological, emotional and spiritual release. So we can kind of zero that into a clinical death.

Woman How can you help release a person?

Tom There's an ability for dialogue with say a terminally ill person, or a situation like that, or a bereaved person. You see theirs is a release also; it's almost identical.

Woman I was thinking more in terms of, from my understanding and what I have read, that once a person makes up their mind to release it is sometimes difficult for them to do so.

Tom Oh, right. But again, we have the general characteristics in our human capacity to reject change. We are lazy.

I have a little phrase that I use locally, and have been actually using it for Elaine's purposes. I even go like this: what's-you-gonna-do? That's the phrase but I really mean: What's-you-gonna-do? are you going to do? I have a problem making this decision. I say to Elaine: "What's-you-gonna do?" And she says, "What do you mean?" In other words, you role play. Don't think anything, just keep asking the same question assertively until she finally says: "You mean, exactly what am I going to do?" Then again, just ask the question again. Because actually, if it works, she'll start answering her own question.

You know a rather gross phrase is pee or get off the pot! When it comes to that time what's- you- gonna- do? Yes, it is difficult. Sometimes practically impossible, sometimes it is impossible, and that's wrong. So

again: what's-you-gonna-do? Well, you have to really take stock of yourself. List all of your priorities. Endure all the pain. Get a piece of paper and say, "How good is it for me to be hanging on to this? I know what I'm supposed to do, I know I want to do it, and I can. Why?"

Woman I had a patient who was terminally ill who, for three weeks, just barely recognized anyone. He couldn't eat, he couldn't drink, all his physical bodily functions were being handled for him. He tried to go but his wife was so determined, and so the tie from he to her, and the attaching made it difficult to separate him from his body and her from him. How could I have helped?

Tom Well, depending on many things . . .but he can listen. I mean that's one of the very last bodily function. But he can still listen. You can even be in that room and the probability is that he will be able to hear you telepathically. So for you to have in your heart the answer for him. You can just say, "John, it's really okay. You have the right to move on. It's a natural thing; you have the right. And you have to give her the opportunity to deal with reality." Just casual things like that. I think the very basic thing to say is a gut feeling mixed in with a very hardened degree of honesty. You know we're very compassionate people and we don't want any anybody else to hurt. And we do terrible things under that guise--is that the phrase? Okay. We do terrible things under the heading of love.

And we mask things. Chemicals as medicine. I have a little headache, gotta take a pill. Wait a minute! Did you ever stop to think you're supposed to have a headache? For a reason? Oh, I've got a one-degree fever! And you never kill the virus that's attacking you. That one, two, three degree temperature is the body's ability to burn off something which is detrimental. But you take aspirin, you get in a cold shower, things like that. You force the temperature down. What you're doing is just exactly the opposite of what is right and natural. But again, that loving gesture.

Sometimes you might have to-- I would recommend in certain circumstances like that to you that you might have to, as a loving gesture, be the heavy. For instance, again role play, grab hold of the shoulder of she and say, "You know, it isn't fair to hang on." Now she might interpret that as herself or he, and that doesn't matter. Sometimes just that works.

Something as casual as that. Sometimes it requires something very hardened and equally as dramatic.

Woman One more question then I'll let you go. There's been some controversy about immunizing babies. Injecting them with measles vaccine, whooping cough vaccine, and polio vaccine. Because of the potential or actual damage it has done in some cases. I wondered what are your views on that?

Tom My personal views on that I can give you in a couple of examples. There was a flue-type thing going around and everybody was being urged to get their shot, etc. I turned to Elaine and said, "Elaine, it's an epidemic; fine, why don't you just stall for a day or two. Everybody else will get their shots and there won't be an epidemic." That was perhaps crude and-- Yeah. [End of Tape A-2]

[Tape B-1]

[A moment or so of conversation and comments by various people at beginning of tape]

Tom Yeah, but you see that's the tradeoff. You as an individual are stuck right in the middle of a ten-sided game. You've got the medical profession pushing to make money. You've got the pharmaceutical companies-- but don't talk about them. They're one of the most greedy and egotistical societies around. It's actually outright disgusting.

When you have common sense that tells you--wait a minute, where does common sense fit in? And there's the dilemma. Either get immunized and protect yourself or not and run the risk of a disease that will debilitate. All of us as spiritual people, we might get into that of, "Wait a minute, where's your faith? What is this chemical stuff?"

That you actually receive a disease by God or through natural process-- but you say, but my goodness, that's my baby. And here you bounce back and forth and that's the dilemma situation and there is no single answer.

A lot of things depend on where you are. If you live in Rochester and there are some immunizations you feel you should have--you certainly don't want to have one for gamma rays! If you live in Buffalo--aren't you glad

they don't have for dioxins and--goodness! Do you see my point? There is no answer, no singular answer. It's something that you have to deal with. You may even need to ask a lot of assistance. But when you go and ask your doctor--for crying out loud, know that you're asking somebody who's making money in the profession. And if he says the chances are so slim you have to deal with possibilities and probabilities. The chances are so slim--but my goodness, I think you really ought to have it. Sure. You've gotta come back to his office four times in a couple of years.

And if he says it's utterly ridiculous, the chances are ten million to one, and with that information you say, okay, bye. You have to understand that. And you may even have to say, "Excuse me Doctor, I have one more question for you. Are you honestly telling me that's the odds, or are you considering your income? I'm sorry if you feel this is rude, but I feel that you are making an income off of this thing. And that people are swayed by greed and ego and I'm simply asking you to verify your honesty." And you may have to get a new doctor! But that's the doctor you don't want.

Another Woman Ultra sound is in very, very common use in diagnostic evaluations. There is a controversy that the ultra sound used so early in a woman's pregnancy may or can damage the nerves and the connections being made in that new life. But they have decided that they're still safe.

Tom With medicine and things of that nature, my rule of thumb is if you don't really need it, forget it. Do you really have to know the sex of your baby before it's born? Are you taking out some of the fun of not knowing? What are the alternatives? On the other hand, if you have a history of any kind of problems fine, then this is justification to find out. Does my baby have a disease that's been in my last two children? Wait a minute, you just want to know this? And will it matter at the birth of that baby in the hospital? Will they be able to do a blood transfusion right there in the birth zone. Okay, then that's justifiable.

But if you say, "Oh I just want to know so when he's three years old-- Then it's just not necessary. All receptacles of new and innovative things, ultra sound, your vibrators--vibrations have the ability to shatter concrete; I use it in my daily work. I also have a cutout saw, one of those \$600 jobs that cuts through anything. Again, it's done with friction and

vibration. You have to be very careful with those things. How does that affect you, a living entity?

Now an adult has a bone structure that's brittle and fragile. A fetus and new-born baby is so fluid--I mean you can very often drop them right off the table and they'll cry but they will not be hurt. But if you fell off the table you'd be in traction for six months. Again, that payoff.

But when it comes to things like ultra sound, magnetism, X-ray, gamma rays, none of those things are good for you. Magnetism is a--an enhancer? In other words, in certain cancer situations it can be a good thing. You don't fool around with that unless you need it. If you're one of those few people who have a cancerous situation and you're dealing with it that way, don't be foolhardy, deal with it in that way.

[Question: What about magnets and such things that you can place on the skin?]

Tom As a matter of fact--it would be almost a year ago now--it turns out that I didn't find what I was after. If I could have found the type and the size, the density and the mag that I was looking for, I would have used it on me. Let me tell you that there is something there that will work. I didn't use it only because I couldn't find what I was after.

Don't use that unless you have a real problem. Don't wear them on your belt, things like that. They're not going to kill you, they're not going to affect you dramatically, but a little subtle difference between, "Gee, I'm feeling a little depressed today." That's the difference.

See that might not change your life at all as far as decision making characteristics. It's not going to give you a job or take your job away, but it does make those little subtle differences. Also, as a healing enhancement, yes those things can be used for that. How much is your ignorance involved and how much are you relying on the book? Or another book, or somebody said that somebody said? We do really ridiculous things and take ridiculous chances because somebody said something.

And you're sitting here listening to some of my opinions and some of my knowledge. But you can't know that for yourself. You can use it as a tool, you can use it as a stepping-stone, you can use it to enhance your faithfulness, but you can't know it. Unless you've-- Things of that nature.

And I am absolutely, positively not into crystals. However, I bought Elaine this very large and very heavy--oh, my goodness, mental block. What's a fuchsia color? Amethyst! An amethyst necklace and little earrings, and they're really pretty and so on. She's got dark hair and she looks real nice. But I didn't get it for the way it would look on her. I got it as an experiment in a way. I did tell her the little bit that I knew about it and said, "Why don't you try this, why don't you sleep on it." Well the result was that it was a little too heavy, and a little too warm. It got hot and was a little too heavy. Fine, then don't use it if it's irritating. If you feel bad, it got hot-- You might say, but wait a minute, if it got hot it was working! So what? It was irritating.

[Woman asked long question that seemed very intelligent about chemicals we are producing now that are virtually indestructible and how things like this are affecting the earth. She wanted Tom to comment on what a person working at the third level of consciousness could do about world pollution, if anything.]

Tom For right now, practically nothing. In other words the practicality of the power of prayer affecting something like that, of the magnitude of something so physical as that, is next to nil. For right now I would have to recommend that you don't waste your time on that subject.

We have enough poisons manufactured by man to really destroy the earth as we know it. You can lean right on the well known specifics like dioxin 2452, things of that nature that are in the water and in the atmosphere. And take a look at the local news. This has been going on in an emergency situation for twenty years. And right in the local news this night, they're arguing about whether there is there a factor or is there not a factor. Mutations are being experienced, plant life is being devastated, etc. etc. Our political structure on a federal level, governmental level, they're arguing--is there a problem?

It's utterly ridiculous and the biggest problem is to come up with a technological cure for any of that. Right now it's basically too late! We will have suffered extremes in consequences and changes in the next twenty years from the chemical pollution alone. Not that long ago, I compared the chemical pollution problems being of a devastating nature

compared with nuclear--and chemicals are a much more realistic problem.. The potential is there right now.

Now, to talk a little spiritually about a scenario that I wish to share with you. Picture the big blue marble from say standing on the moon. There's Mother Earth out there. Pretty with fields and so on. All the goings on, people sitting around talking, theaters and things like that. There she is, sitting right there, a singular living entity being burned all the way around her skin. Symbolically let's say with dioxin. Being burned all the way around her skin. She is a living entity.

She has a couple of choices. She has the choice to put up with that. In other words, if you burned your arm--here's the analogy--Mother Earth is in effect burning her skin. Granted we are at fault, but we are part of that living entity. So Mother Earth is burning her skin.

You have a burn mark on your arm, an acid burn or whatever. You have three basic choices: you can grimace and put up with it, because it hurts quite bad but you keep on with your business of say driving a car. You don't have to stop driving the car. You can progress and forget about the irritation on your arm.

But then it gets worse, or it continues, and you have to go into only one of two choices: you can either attend it or forget about it. You can love it so much and do your *Om Shanties* and override the hurt. Well, that works for awhile. But if it gets bad enough, all the prayer in the world will probably not make the pain less severe or copeable. So now you're back down, again, to two choices: you can either treat it and get it to cure or you may have to remove it. If it's burned so bad that a salve or a shot of cortisone or whatever is not going to cure it, and if you can't just gently rub it, massage it to keep the circulation going, love yourself enough to have an accelerated healing, all those things, then you're left with one option: you have to get rid of it.

And the choices--well, when I cut this out will I die? And when the realization is: No, you can annihilate this, you can remove this and you will not die. And the tossup is: Do I want to salvage the burn or do I want to salvage myself?

Well, it's utterly ridiculous, the scenario that I'm giving, of the burn on your arm. But wait a minute. Let's go back to Mother Earth. Mother Earth's skin is burning. She has two choices: accept it or reject it. So she rejects

it. And she's been rejecting it now for many years. It's down to the point where a decision has to be made. There are only two options: she can annihilate it and salvage Mother Earth, or she can allow it to be healed in some way. And what I'm really being very pessimistic about is that some way to heal the chemical pollution is not at hand. And it's getting a little too late. We have talked about many things; scenarios of catalytic events coming up in the near future, and I've been so ambiguous as to it's contingency on other things.

Well, we've progressed tonight; I'm really doing a pretty good job of not getting over-emotional, because I usually do when I get this far on this particular subject. Well, here's one of the contingencies. And very, very shortly, not this date, but very, very shortly, certainly between now and the turn of the century, Mother Earth, as an entity, is going to make that final decision.

Is it salvageable or does she purposefully annihilate? And of course the annihilation I'm talking about is the death and destruction of life as we know it; all of us, and of life on the surface of the earth as we know it.

A positive note is that if that decision is been made by the entity, Mother Earth, and by the entire area that I've described several times, meaning still Mother Earth, even though it might extend beyond the next nearest planet, the moon, and so on. The spiritual entities that are moving and preparing, acting and reacting, and formulating all the options that are available right now for each of our problems that we've talked about. And most in particular, the salvation of Mother Earth is the priority.

We don't count when it comes to that! When that decision is made we will be considered, we are being considered dramatically, ridiculously dramatically because of our divinity, because of our spirituality and our divinity, individually and collectively, as a separate living entity within the surface of Mother Earth. And that decision is right at hand, it's very close, it's right now, and how we do with our attitudes and so on, along with our technological advances.

One of the things I've been greatly disappointed in during the last two or three years is that I had actually predicted that by this time, by 1988, there would be an announcement towards a measurement, a bona fide, actual, physical, experimental measurement of superluminal telepathic communication. My saying that is kind of ambiguous to most people right

now. But it hasn't happened yet and there's only a couple of months left to the absolute deadline. I said it will have happened by then, another "or else" situation.

If our technological advances can't accelerate at such a rate as to move the deadline of the spiritual decision to do the cleansing, and do the correcting, well, we're really in trouble as we know it. In other words, the human condition as we know it right now is in very grave jeopardy. If and when that decision is made there will be nothing anybody can do.

Please don't get depressed and take a defeatist attitude. If you have any signs at all of major cataclysmic events that seem to be formulating the cleansing or the destruction or the burning of the surface of the earth, at the minimum, don't be surprised because it's, again, contingent on many other things. I'm only hitting on one specific example and that's the chemical pollution of Mother Earth right now, and her decision that something has to be done about it--and we haven't so far. We're dragging our feet. It's a very pessimistic situation right now. As optimistic as I usually am, that's one of the very pessimistic things. And we're dragging our feet; we're behind. And it doesn't look good. It really doesn't look good. I'm the last person in the world to be a sensationalist regarding things like that. And saying, "Oh the end is near!" But it may come to that.

Now, spiritually speaking, and especially with the subject that we've talked on, let's give the what if example. What if? If by the turn of the century the decision-making process is done and there is no other alternative, Mother Earth will not be annihilated. Mother Earth will not be annihilated. She may have to annihilate the skin that is burned. There may have to be a collective, cataclysmic event to literally and physically cleanse the earth. If that takes place, and every single human being on the earth dies, is annihilated, obliterated-- The word annihilate is not quite correct, because you can kill the physical, you cannot kill the spiritual. You can transform the spiritual, you can condense the spiritual, you can move the spiritual, *but you cannot annihilate the spiritual*. So the human condition will live on.

Sometimes we take solace in just the knowledge of our survival. And granted that it may not mean that there will be any body, but the human condition and the history of the human condition will live on. That can't be erased and that can't be annihilated. I'm trying to give you a little glimpse

of positive hope in that scenario as it is right now. That's one of the things that I usually do not talk about in too much detail because that is the greatest priority that I'm directly involved in.

Some of the kind of secret and classified things that I have documented, that I am working with on a physical level with organizations and what have you, sometimes kind of secretly by way of a friend in Olympia, Washington, or whatever. But for right now that's the biggest priority. I have an emergency priority on my list of priorities, with a location in Lebanon for this next coming warm weather. But that's a temporary immediate priority. There's an overriding priority which is what we've just hinted on--the chemical destruction of the earth, and in effect, immediately, all of our lives.

What most people don't realize is, not that all the fishes are dying and that we're all going to contract cancer within the next ten years because of the pollutants and so on. No, the pollutants are at a point where a decision has to be finally made. Is it irreversible? This is right now, and it's not being made by us! That decision will not be made by us. Even that doesn't look good, because if it's made by us, what can we do about it? What can they do about [can't get one word here] and the whole Bangor River? That is affecting the entire earth--just the pollution from that one spot.

I've got in one spiral notebook--written upside down from the bottom up because I had to turn the page over like this--very bluntly what I did, I started from my house on Lake Ontario, went to the bottom of the Niagara River where it empties into Lake Ontario, and from a physical elevation of less than a thousand feet and more particular two hundred feet on the average, I went up this river-- I didn't do this physically! I went up that river and I wrote down everything from street signs to reference points,

and the initial writing that I did, I did it by color. I wrote down my code, for the colors which I saw--and I'm translating that into whatever I could muster with the little bit of knowledge I have like New Hyde Park, Buffalo, the Peace Bridge, reference points from Hooker Chemical Company, certain street signs and what have you. I did the best job that I could. I can then hand that paper over to somebody and they would be able to walk and identify the certainly more than twenty locations most of which are documented and known scientifically now. But not publicized.

Well, at least two years ago or it may be as many as four years ago, I turned that translated paper over to the Department of Environmental kind of agent, a personalized friend that I have there and whom I deal with regarding such things. (And he tells me help him.) I turned that over and it has since been publicized. Big deal! I've only helped to identify an irreversible, horrendously disgusting situation. But that's at least something, as opposed to not knowing the security of it, maybe that will, just in itself, help in recognizing the severity of it. And it doesn't look good. There has been nothing done about it.

There was one fence, a chain link fence, put up at a location that I gave because you could go and stand right there and your skin would be burned within three or four minutes of just being there. So they put a chain link fence up! It's just completely asinine. What an evil, frugal attempt to do anything at all. I can't denounce putting up the chain link fence, it was at least something.

But the problem with doing that is, "Well, we did something about it. That's the best we can do for now. We'll have a feasibility study, might take a couple of years." And it's absolutely disgusting, degrading, and so on.

That scenario is one of the very horrendous and very confusing things

that I've got involved with during the past two years. I don't like to override or put down the sensational or the things that we've talked about the last couple of days. Some have been very beautiful, some have been reasonably devastating, unnerving, and what have you.

And I don't really like to go right into something like this that totally overshadows everything we've been talking about. Because we've talked about positive measures, things to look forward to, choices. Now here I'm going right off the deep end and saying here's a situation that just about washes out everything we've said. It isn't really fair in a way to do this to an open group of people like this. But I've done it. So--ha,ha!

But there's always the light at the end of the tunnel! I like that phrase. Regardless of how bad things get, again the power of positive thinking is that, the absolute worst scenario is that, if you're going to die in the next couple of years, because of a spiritual decision that we have screwed up the big blue marble and we don't deserve to inhabit it anymore, and it has to be cleansed in that-- generation. I hesitated because I'm not talking about the next genealogical generation.

Somebody said something about Karmic Records awhile ago. You know, what's in a word? That's what I meant when I said the next generation. I also have said as part of an explanation that, never mind about whoever, and never mind about eternity, if you say to the end of time that's really okay because I know that time now.

Don't misunderstand me; I'm not saying that within the next couple of years time is going to end. But we're walking on awfully thin ice--if you want to consider it a negative thing, and we're walking a very, very, very exciting, beautiful, pure, threshold--if you want to be positive about it.

You know, if you can really humble yourself to say, "What is so wrong

with--"There's nothing wrong with-- What is so bad about a magnificent, beautiful, world-wide cleansing? It may include death and destruction, as we deal with it initially. Well, what's so wrong with that? If it is the only, absolute way of having the Garden of Eden, the ultimate, uplifting, spiritual consciousness, purification, living within superluminal telepathic communication. You won't have to get on airplanes or drive to smile at each other and acknowledge each other.

I also described to somebody that this is right at this point in the light-- if you can assume that you, in the light, are still an aggregation or uniquely yourself. Which isn't really a true statement but a light being in a light being within the light. Just floating around, bored because there's no food, no sex, and no money. Floating around and then coming towards each other and facing each other and just shining. That's actually, at least symbolically, that's all that it's about. Very, very boring! But it's only because of our incomprehension of what that is, that unconditional love, that absolute purity, where we don't need physical enhancement. I mean, we don't need orgasms. We don't need money. We don't need decorations. And I'm not making fun of any of those things. God, I love all of those things! The art of enjoyment, decorations and so on; I mean stop to think how absolutely perfect and wonderful it will be to be the most beautiful. In other words, no competition, because there will be uniformly the most beautiful absolute perfection. And you can really wind up getting very, very excited about it. Then you hear them asking me, "Tom, are you really afraid to die? [Tom laughs] But sooner or later!

On a positive note, do any of you recall me mentioning anything about an American Chemical Company problem in the middle of Italy? And that very good friend that I met, strictly clairvoyantly, never went there, and have

not been since. But that was a problem that he was afraid that he was so sick that he couldn't go to work anymore. He was encouraging them to do whatever's necessary, to go to work, etcetera, etcetera. That was the scenario. To see the American Chemical Company there and even attempt to get the name of that company. [I heard Tom tell this in another context. What he does not explain clearly here is that he tried to use psychic powers to get an impression of that company, to get the name.]

Now that was, as it developed, it was incorrect for me to zero in psychically and try to get the name of that company. But I tried it after justifying it and so on. I was harmed, I was punished, I was hit by a wall of darkness. Ouch! It hurt and I learned my lesson. Smiled gingerly, and went on about my business.

Within the next six months I was able to, with the help of Ken Ring and company, and the Italian Consulate in New York City. And the guy there, I don't know his name, but the guy there is intellectually receptive enough with such things as hearing what I've just described to you and, therefore: "Well, let's see what we can do." Can he get any information at all as to the location? Is it exactly east of-- [Tom very emotional] --of a particular identifiable object? And if it is, fine, let me make some calls. Calls Italy, finds the location, finds the chemical company--that's all taken care of. In other words, instead of being greedy and egotistical, even if it was in the slightest degree, in trying something psychically--

'Wow, wait a minute! All I had to do was make a phone call and let friends take care of friends take care of friends. And that situation is taken care of. And again, the beautiful part of it is nobody has lost his job, nobody is in jail, but *a horrendously poisonous, degrading, deceitful situation has been taken care of.* But the way things have been able to

happen with me, or for me, or because of me. I don't lay claims to fixing that. They fixed it. A friend helped a friend, helped a friend. I suppose I can brag about that, I really could because it was one of my tangible accomplishments.

And there've been other things. But now how does that affect the whole scheme of things? Well, I am doing what I can do. If we can't rely on someone like me, or someone like the chemical company-- Well, Reagan will take care of us! But they should do something about it. And again I'm talking about very tangible and specific things, after just telling you that we're at a decision-making point where none of that might matter at all.

[Woman made rather long comment about contracts and making a difference]

Tom Yeah, but what are you doing meanwhile? No, don't come staggering and saying, "Oh, well, the world's coming to an end, I'm just going to have food, have sex, and get a lot of money. You know, that isn't right.

I'll tell you what the basic process that I've found is that if you have information you should see to it that the information is passed on. But a rule of thumb has been for me, and the way things have turned out is, my job is to let's say channel the information however it is done, even if it's by riding my bicycle and finding things out that way. I used the word channeling--in other words pass it on to a friend, to get to a friend, because most of us don't have the doctor's degree. Most of us will not be comfortable and be accepted in the corporate structures, in the federal government structures.

You can't just walk into the Pentagon and tell them there's a problem over in Lebanon. You will probably be arrested. Because if you demand

something you'll be arrested. It won't work. So what do you do? Well, you might have to make a phone call. I was in a small group, like twelve people, in Rochester, and I casually mentioned something like that. I said oftentimes it requires a friend to make the phone call for you. And I'd just finished saying something about something very, very important in the Rochester area, that I really had absolutely no idea that I was talking to the man in charge of it. In other words, one of those people was in charge of that and he did not know of the situation. It was undetectable by the equipment that they had. It was in a way shocking and embarrassing but, well, that's the way some things come out. Sometimes you stand there and you're complaining to somebody and you're saying, "Well, the guy that did that is just a stupid ass!" And you find out you're talking to the guy. And it's necessary for that guy to hear from somebody that he has been an ass. And he can't hear it through the usual structures and so on. That was really funny. That situation was taken care of within 24 hours! I loved it! It was great. I said, well that was fast enough. Now I don't have anything to talk about the next night.

[Woman said something about reading that a remnant of people might be left when the crisis time comes. She asked a specific question]

Tom Very much so at this instance. Well, it can be any of the three of that. See here's the "what if?" situation. Again, that's not definite. Now, what I'll say is there are backup units, there is physical manifestation, there is the amount of energy necessary to facilitate every single thing that I've alluded to. The gun is loaded and it's cocked! It's also even aimed. Again, not to be negative about it but it's already there. And yet there are

some things; one thing is dependant on another. When you see the beautiful display of meteorites, possibly this fall, certainly by next fall, look up and enjoy them. They're gorgeous. They're beautiful. Don't think that oh, that's the first sign of the physical implementation of the destruction of the earth. And yet be aware that it may be. It's important to say over and over again to get your awareness as effortlessly as possible without using a scare tactic. And have a realization of the options and the finalization.

[Question: Is there any possibility of a numerical probability as to which way it will all end up?]

Tom I'm not going to like this! Well, I'm afraid it's much more negative than positive. Let me deal with probabilities. By the beginning of September within a few weeks, within the next full month, which is within a short period of time-- [End of Tape B-1]

[Tape B-2]

--if there is a national news release of any kind of an accident that is depicted or recognized or said that there is a fuchsia-colored cloud, that's an indication that-- No, not that it's all over, but that will be one of the first signs that some chastisement is ahead--and I'm jokingly saying that. What I'm telling you is that there is a probability that that will take place. That's one of those ambiguous, precognitive type of things.

If by the ninth of September you do not have a national news release of a type of an accident where there is a fuchsia-colored cloud scattered -- [Tom emotional] -- over the countryside which, if that happens, will dissipate over a matter of many miles, not hundreds of miles, but it will be

absolutely lethal for several miles. It's one of those things where if that is cushioned and corrected, there's a little stall for time of a positive nature. And if that correction or cushioning or changing of that precognitive thing does not happen, that's very good. And it's a stall for time; much more positive. If it does take place, then it's not good. And that could almost be used as a signal for-- Then start looking up in the sky. Again, I hate those sensational things-- [Another comment from audience] But you see that's not mis-translatable. If they say a green cloud, well that's a chlorine release that a chemical company-- No, I'm not talking about that. This will be very specific. How many fuchsia-colored clouds-- ? That's the amethyst color *which, by the way, symbolically, is the color of the new age!* I don't know if you've ever heard that but I just thought I'd--

[Comment about the rainbow on Tom's bag also being the color of the new age]

Tom Yeah, somebody put that on there. I said, hey, what're you doing? They said, "I'm giving you a rainbow."

Tom Williams Is there anything we can do about that in the meantime? With positive thoughts--?

Tom Yes, that! And that is already set. In other words, as far as I'm concerned because I know more details about that. That's already in motion and there's nothing else that I can do about it. But certainly, of course, we should cover all our bases. You can always--if you are into fervent prayer--pray, do love gestures and so on enhance that, change the attitudes

alone, that always helps. It may be the deciding factor. Again, where are the priorities? Are you going to make a priority of the signaling device that several people will be killed from a fuchsia-colored cloud or the release of other toxic substances? And if that's like the flare of the flag that I've sort of thrown up?

[Comment from a man in the audience]

Tom This will be absolutely, specifically man-made. It's a chemical reaction. It is identified unequivocally as a fuchsia-colored cloud. I can't tell you the chemical right now. I've been kind of mind boggled with [two words that sound like: "sensing rain"] and things of that nature--which I am totally ignorant of-- I haven't taken chemistry or anything.

[Question as to where the spill will take place]

Tom Well no, not really. A little hint might be the mid-west, but that's even ambiguous, so no, never mind that.

[Comment that it might be called purple or blue, and what then?]

Tom Well, don't worry. I don't know if they'll say the word fuchsia or not, they may say purple or violet--what would a news commentator say? Well, he's not going to say yellow, he's not going to say a large gray or a large black cloud. And if there's a big fire, a plane shot up or anything, bright smoke--

[Margaret Fields was sitting opposite Tom and thus as close to the mike as he was. She also had a near-death experience.]

Margaret I need to ask you something. I had a near-death experience ten years ago and (this will totally change the subject that you're on). Before you had your near-death experience (I haven't heard a lot of what you've said because I've been in and out), but it sounds like, from the information that I picked up that you were mechanical?

Tom Very.

Margaret Okay. It sounds like that you-- The question is that it sounds like the near-death experience enhanced your left brain. Okay? In my experience I was already right-brain dominant anyway and the same thing happened with me, that it has enhanced the right brain dominant. I guess my question is what have you found about this, or do you have comments about that? Any research that you've read? Does this always happen this way?

Tom Always happen that way? Well okay, the measurements haven't been made for that. I'll go ahead and say yes, it always happens that way--an enhancement of the balance. Now I may disappoint you by saying regarding the right and left brain--yes, there is that. Yes there are areas and locations. You said that so specifically, that interreaction of left and right brain, the synapses and so on. And they really work. If our technological advances go along getting these figures for awhile and stuff like that. As opposed to having a left or right brain person. In other words the big separation. There is not that very dramatic, draw-the -line type separation. You certainly cannot take a brain and remove that and say, there now, it's just right.

Margaret I'm very much aware of what you're saying.

Tom Good. You know I have talked to people and they'll say, "Oh well, you're a right-brained person, you can't do that." You do that for

measurement. As for me, wow, I'd probably be a classical case for measuring as a degree of enhancement of left-right brain, male-female, yen-yang-- One of the things I experienced while lying on the sofa the next three days recuperating, I mean enough to be really conscious and well, was-- Gee, I didn't even have the right sentence for it but I'm lying there thinking, wow, I'm me, I'm okay, I'm still me. But my goodness, I'm very different and, again, the terminology-- You see now I can say, wow, I feel much more feminine, this is beautiful. I'm much more balanced; I'm equal. While I was lying there on the couch, I had no words, no sentences, or anything for that. Still it was a very nice feeling; I knew everything was okay. And it was a very culturally shocking type of thing such as turning on the radio and not only liking but loving *classical music?* And having to convince myself that I was still Tom Sawyer.

Margaret Something you might be helpful to me with is-- I have not been expressive about this. It was two years before I told anyone. And still I find that I hesitate much more to talk about it and I have had problems with these-- With selling, with reading aloud, and with people's names because sometimes it will be so unimportant. It's really nice to be able--

Tom I referred to that as my special Alzheimer's disease! I used to think, gee, is there something really wrong with me? It's okay to say, "Well, there's a big enough group here I'm not going to bother to try to use names. Hey you, was fine! Yeah, I've experienced that and yeah, hang on to that, make all the measurements you have to.

As far as your speech-- everyone loves listening to you. There's a characteristic of Tom Sawyer, always has been, and I really don't want to get rid of it. If I'm ever sarcastic to any of you, that means I love you. When I pick on certain people-- I mean, you know, how fair is it to say if I love everybody as unconditionally as possible-- Still, you have your favorites and, gee, aren't you cute today, and I love you because you are this or that or some other thing. Without really apologizing-- I want to be specific now because I did make a sarcastic comment about your speech. I said, 'don't you talk funny!' I mean it is the accent! Because I'm from Rawchester, New Yawk, you know. [Margaret says she's from North Carolina] Because of the remark I made, I wanted you to say that. Also, you

know things, and you're searching for the phrase and the word-- [Margaret said she's having a real problem with that, with not finding the right words, etc.] That's called ineffability! That's the right phrase for it, that's what the researchers use and so on. I've learned that phrase.

If you are at all intellectual, by that I mean studious and scholarly, because--see I don't read books. But if you happen to read books at all, something that I did once-- I had to look something up in the dictionary and then I was guilty of turning the next couple of pages and just glancing in there. A big English dictionary. Do something as simplistic as that. But otherwise, I've found--and here I go, do as I say not as I really do--don't worry about that. Because in your communications with other people (this is in a way embarrassing to say this), in your communication with other people, they'll get the message. If they don't get the message they either weren't supposed to get it-- Because when you're dealing with something that is ineffable, very often it's the hand gesture, an emphatic hand gesture. Or the blush! For instance, if someone pins me down on a question I don't really want to answer, I'll go just like that [making hand gesture for Margaret]

Margaret That happens with me a lot of times because I know there are some things I'm not supposed to answer at the time.

Tom Here's something I overcame. I had to methodically try and practice and do it. Near-death experience. Next couple of years got in touch with researchers and started learning things, and so on. Many psychic things started to happen to me spontaneously. It became an aggravation. I didn't like it to begin with. So it was then proved that to me it was mostly an aggravation. For the next two full years I was quite bombarded with a lot of psychic junk, a lot of psychic sadness and aggravation. Okay? Therefore, I found myself-- I'll role play and do it with you. I come into the room and I say, "Hi." Then I would make eye contact with you and say hello. Then I would purposely look around you and would not maintain any eye contact at all. You want to know why? Because if I look directly into someone's eyes, I will get to learn too much. That makes you cry, that makes you overly excited, it makes you so inhibited inside, and so on. I got so sick of glancing at someone and knowing when they were going to die.

That was the part of it that made me say, "I can't handle this. That's too much." Now I don't mind looking at someone and saying, gee, isn't she beautiful? She's pregnant! Oh wait a minute, I'd better not say anything, she doesn't know it yet!

There are things of that nature but then when it came to just acknowledging someone and knowing that they would be dead in two weeks or stuff like that, symbolically from looking directly at them. So I purposefully started looking away and not maintaining that eye contact. See, also (knock on wood), having been an honest person all my life I was one of those people that, because of this degree of honesty that I maintained all of my life, I would talk to you and just-- And I also have been chastised for never blinking. I do; and I even purposefully do now. You know if you go without sleep for a little while your eyes get--!

But anyway, so I'm talking with somebody and of course a couple of, or several can inhibit people, "Tom, will you stop staring at me?" So, I stopped doing that. And then after a very short period of time of realizing that I was doing something on purpose to avoid something psychological or psychic, or spiritual. I said, wait a minute now, I've gotta overcome that. That's running away. I was running away from the reality of my circumstances. It was quite difficult and like very inhibiting to then purposefully trust myself and make eye contact and maintain a degree of eye contact. I also want to say that's four or five years now, that I've quote overcome that. But I'm still guilty of it. Starting to make a measurement and purposefully quit looking away or distracting myself or interrupting myself.

Margaret What I have been given, in addition to being able to see what would happen to the person, is a different focus. I also see in that person changes that could take place that are positive for them and put that out to them rather than to extend what you were talking about because that's too depressing.

Tom That's fine. And if you haven't, play the game that I play. To the guy at work, for instance: "Hey, do you have your new pool yet, or not?" "New pool? What're you talking about?" "Oh, never mind!" [Laughter]

Margaret The other thing that I wanted to talk to you about is the tunnel. Which I never went through. If I did I don't know it.

Tom You didn't have the token? You have to have tokens. [Laughter] Raymond Moody, who is author of books, etc. He's also a stand-up comedian--did you all know that? Okay. In the Rochester area a few people really know him at a personal level. Have you ever heard his rendition of "The Monkey of the Tunnel?" Okay, it's a general story and I won't spoil it if you ever get to hear it.

But I've heard it a dozen times and every time-- The scenario is this guy died and went through the tunnel. Towards the end of the tunnel there's a monkey standing there. He's got a chain thing, a barricade, and he says: May I help you? And the guy says, well, I suppose so, I think that I've died and I'm coming through the tunnel, looking forward to seeing the light. And he said, okay, do you have a quarter? And the guy says well actually no, I didn't think I'd need money after I died. And of course Raymond Moody role plays and does the sound of the characters and so on. The scenario is that not only did he need a quarter to get through the barricade but he was so stupid that he went through the wrong tunnel and that was the tunnel for monkeys only.

And another thing that's just cute and beautiful. Raymond Moody had his secretary call Ken Ring. And the secretary played the part of the overseas operator. And she set him up saying that the overseas operator has a call from either Japan or China. Dr. Wong Ha wishes to speak to Dr. Ring. Now Raymond Moody got on there and he role played and becomes Dr. Wong Ha. And he's so good because he plays on the ego of the doctor's degree. You know, like: Ah, Dr. Ring, you important person. And he tells him about the monkey of the light. You know the story? We have had more fun, and I'll tell you, it's not often that I laugh so hard that my stomach hurt. Beautiful thing.

And yeah that conversation went on and on. He told him about his near-death experience and that he went in the tunnel and saw the monkey of the light. Poor Ken Ring! You've got to understand if you deal with a thousand near-death experiencers, some of them--honestly--are so bizarre. You talk about descriptions. "No, it was my mother's teakettle." In other words, it wasn't a tunnel, it was my mother's teakettle. And things like

that. I mean here's this guy and, of course, the fact that he's caught off guard because it's an overseas call, and then the Chinese guy or Japanese guy that's trying to speak English--and of course he says, please excuse English! And Ken's nodding his head up and down. Oh yeah, oh sure, keep going. That was the monkey of the light.

Margaret I want to know something about in the near-death experience after--supposedly after the people get through the tunnel who go through the tunnel; which I did not. I was just there. There's so many differences in descriptions of "there." Do you have anything to say about that because all experience different things?

Tom There are two possibilities, one of which is regarding stages and intensities of near-death experiences. First of all, that's ridiculous, other than for scientific comparison and research. You are talking to somebody who's had a near-death experience. And it's changed their life. And it doesn't matter the intensity or, "how far did you go?" "Well, I went into the tunnel." "Ha! I went through the tunnel! I'm better than you because I skipped around the tunnel on a skateboard."

Margaret I'm just curious as to-- All right, we were there. So we experienced it in our own way. And it seems that it's unique to each person as to the way they experienced it, just as life is here. I think that's really beautiful that we still get to be unique, even though we're in the same light.

Tom Apparently a great deal of the verbalized description and hindsight of the near-death experience does, in fact-- The book by Carol Zaleski, *Otherworld Journeys*, alludes to the fact that cultural conditioning, religious background, and things like that do, in fact, play a part. What the process is-- You haven't experienced a real spiritual experience. You bring that back into your consciousness and wham, you're hit with the essence of what took place. And there are no words for it. So, you are translating the real essence into a comparison--right off the bat! That's the same as a complete translation.

By you then trying to verbalize it, it's the equivalent of a second

translation. Because you're dealing with something that's ineffable, completely indescribable. And again the best thing is a comparison to, well, it was like a tunnel. I often say that the best descriptive term is a tunnel. Well, that's also telling you that it was not a tunnel. If the best descriptive term is that it's a tunnel, then it's like a tunnel, not a tunnel.

As I comment many times on the way in which I describe certain things I often spend a lot of detail and time on saying what it is not. Then whatever's left, an ambiguous knowledge of well, it does this, it does that, it represents this and that and that, so never mind what it looks like. That's a helpful way of describing something that's ineffable. You go all the way around it, say everything is not, is not, is not. Well what's left in the middle is what it is. [Comment from audience]

Oh yeah, and it always will be that. I mean I've really done an excellent job of callusing myself to saying, "Then before me was this most magnificent light." Well, I want to tell you I've probably said that sentence a hundred thousand times in an attempt to callus myself. If you say it like that it doesn't have a real meaning; you have to stop and think about it. And of course with things like that that we're describing, if you stop and think about it, you're either going to cry or stutter or-- It's just really that much of it's too much. Certainly it was for me eight or nine years ago. If I do think into it at all, it's every bit as intensive and overwhelming as it was three days later when I was able to comprehend anything at all.

Yeah, the tunnel's an important thing. It's a stepping stone. A description without a tunnel, there's two possibilities, and you don't have to worry about either one. One of which is that the actual and proverbial light at the end of the tunnel is not the only light that can be experienced. A description of that lighted area--crystalline city, babbling brook and things like that, with an indescribably light. It's only a spiritual awakening. It's your translated symbolism into that which sets the scene.

Not necessarily the visual scene but the atmosphere, the ambience of the scene. And if the ambience for you is to have a flowering field with a babbling brook, that is probably going to be your first descriptive thing. If you suffer from hay fever, you're going to want a beautiful crystalline city with electric [specifiers?] on top of each building. What's offensive to you will not be included in your description. It is cultural conditioning actually.

To talk about a light or the light it may not actually be by the most intensive near-death experience standards, as that one single light at the end of the tunnel. It may be a segment or a portion of the light-- Someone else earlier today was describing an aspect where they had an apparition, or an experience, a spiritual experience, where they saw kind of a door or a rock or wall--again that doesn't matter. In other words, a barrier that had either cracked or opened, because a door was not opened. It was an unfolding, again we have the symbolism, and that that light was in there. Okay.

Well, what she was describing was her own personal divinity. And that that is that light--that light is that divinity within you--that is light. It is the same light that's the light at the end of the tunnel. But, descriptively, in her experience, it was not the light at the end of the tunnel. No difference at all, and it doesn't matter because the spiritual awakening or arousal or whatever she deduced from that or is deducing from that, that's quite irrelevant too. It's whatever it is. If it's very positive and changes her in many ways, well then that's the result, and that's what she got out of it. And it doesn't matter if that was a little snowball of light that was cast out from the ultimate light--the omnipresent oneness. So there's a possibility that it can fit into that scenario. There are differences but the differences don't matter that much. In the research some of that is measurable. And again, in some of the confidential files regarding the varied details, many, many hours worth of details, prodding and questioning and me: well, this day I'm going to talk about the acceleration of the tunnel--in other words, all day I want to talk about that. And get into so many details.

One of the unique things (maybe it's because I've got such a big mouth), is that my experience I've been able to talk into and into and into. It does not change anything. I never change anything. But wait a minute, there's many things I can add on or eliminate; it doesn't change the necessity that I went through the tunnel. That's the fact. But in dealing with psychological implications of why is it that I experienced a tunnel not a square? Is it an actual spiritual essence that I actually went through? Is it only a tunnel? And ultimately when anybody dies as far as I died, has a clinical death or whatever, that they will go through the same tunnel that I described? And that their descriptions, since they didn't have a tunnel,

they just didn't get that far? See that's pretty irrelevant too. It really depends on how deep you want to go into the research of the story part of the near-death experience.

As eager as I still am to talk into my experience, I much prefer "now what? So what?" Fine, okay. Everybody's heard of a near-death experience. It may be important for you or the next individual to be able to talk about the story part of the experience. I personally would get on with it. There's pretty much all there needs to be known about it.

In fact the more educated or scholastic, or the more you hear about my near-death experience, the chances are the less your experience will be in detail. The necessity is for you to get to the light. Now if I have described and you've heard a hundred thousand near-death experiencers which had their tunnel or the essence of the tunnel or whatever, the greater your chances are, even if it means at the time of your actual death, that you will have that deleted from your transition. That's been documented.

The big category: Have you ever heard of a thing called the near-death experience or anything like it before? Well with me it was no. But the whole category of people will say, "Yes, I read about it in Ring and Moody's books two years before I had my near-death experience." And then questions regarding that. On the very onset when you went unconscious were you subconsciously thinking of, "Well, my God, I'm about to die; I wonder if I'll have one of those near-death experiences?" And what they found was the reverse is true. Not by the power of suggestion: "Wow, I'm going to have a bigger tunnel than Tom had! I'm going to have a classy tunnel or a dream tunnel." Right? Just like one upmanship? No, the reverse is true! The more you know about symbolically, and of other stories of near-death experiences, the less you are likely to experience anything like what they did.

Margaret So if that's true, the research done on it then, do you feel that it's beneficial if we talk about it? Because I have had--

Tom Oh yeah. Let me say something a little more than that. And I can say this to you whether you like it or not! It's a necessity.

Margaret Well I have found it's a necessity, the reason I go ahead and do

it. I still hesitate a lot of times.

Tom Yeah, I'm just saying that you might as well face it, it's too important, it's too grand and wonderful to ever try to squelch or hide. I tried that once. I said, well okay, it's been long enough, Raymond Moody's never answered my letter. I'm not going to finish the tape and I'm never going to tell anybody about this, ever. And I didn't, for almost a week!

[Another woman talked about falling off a horse and having a near-death experience. She was too far away from the mike. I remember her in person talking about the horse and her experience but cannot hear well enough to put down her words]

Tom Williams I've been processing questions for myself and people that I work with. And I'm wondering what you feel and think about, if I were to ask, "What is the ultimate question?" I've been toying with that a little bit. Is there such a thing? The idea of the question seems important to me to really get clear on what I'm going to ask and what is important and not put energy into asking.

Tom Yeah, well if you want to ask me, let me tell you what I did. Confronted by the light, if I had to decide what number one would be, I think the ultimate question was, am I okay? It was that simplistic. There I am before the light: Am I okay? Well, this may be a little philosophical, but the ultimate question would be by anybody: What is love? Then you have to use capitals and underline it and make sure it's pure love as opposed to sexual love or any other kind of love.

What is love? That simplistic question first of all coming to know love: you have to have a background that in order to love, you have to-- And in the process of love you will-- And then in love this is what it is. That covers what is God? Who are you? Where are you? What did you do? I think that it covers absolutely everything else. But of course understand that the question, what is love? requires an absolute unequivocal answer. What is love?

The equivalent of what I said, "What about the Jesus stuff?" Really, what I'm saying is it takes about ten thousand questions at least to cover

what is simplistically said, what about the Jesus stuff? In other words you need a sentence form-- what is the ultimate question? Is that what you're after? [Tom Williams replied but I couldn't understand the words]

Yeah, the best I can do right now is, "What is love?" The question isn't so profound even, it's that in giving the answer to that question you will learn every-- [Tape B-2 ended here]

[Tape C-1]

Tom Right, because that's all there is. You know, a problem with that word, I mean that word has literally been destroyed. Again, that's the inequity of our language. We have the word "love" and that's the correct word. And the true meaning of the word love is what it is.

The problem with our language is we use that word for so many other ridiculous things. It's to the point where, if you say love to somebody there's no way that they are going to start to think toward that purified, pure thing--that which is love. You start somewhere in the middle and you work your way down the middle and start working your way up toward--oh, oh, that kind of love! That's our cultural and--in fact all our inequities, spiritual, psychological... So with any explanation of what is love, any qualified explanation, you have to learn all those other things. All of those other things, including what you said, have to be answered. For you to understand, and have the comprehension for it, you have to understand "what is love?" [Tom Williams comments, but not clearly] I kind of like that! That poor little chicken had...

[Woman asks question; cannot hear clearly enough.]

Tom Yeah, I answered that a couple of times with different people and so on. As far as you're concerned, yes. There's a very good benefit in that and that can help. Even if the answer to your prayers, for the benefits to be received, might only be facilitated after your time. And again that playoff's like I described earlier. If you pray now for something, that may be facilitated way in the future or even way in the past. Again, the instant

your prayer is emanated into spiritual essence, it functions at the speed of light. There you go! It's not confined by any kind of time. It will have direction, that is, direction to a person, place, or thing, or for a particular purpose, once it's given direction. But it functions at the speed of light.

Not to be confused with the direction of "that way" but at the speed of light it's already there. No, *I mean it functions at the speed of light!* So it may get there now and it's also true to state that *it is* there now, and in the future, and in the past. Because of our horrible incomprehension of "no time" we usually have to go in time to think. Sure, even when I first chuckled a little bit and I didn't mean this maliciously, but I said: "You are so stupid," to Ken Ring. "You're so stupid with what you're asking me."

He asked me a question, "What is a precognition?" Then he asked, "What is a retrocognition?" And then he asked me, "What is clairvoyance?" How absolutely stupid--why don't you just say "clairvoyance"? And, again here we go with another word, pure or real or true clairvoyance functions at the speed of light. Now there's a lesser form of clairvoyance that is subliminal--in other words, it functions at less than the speed of light. That isn't true clairvoyance. Again, language!~ [Same woman comments again. Not audible]

Another Woman You know, those of us who have been sending love and light to the personality as being Adolph Hitler, as we knew linear time-- [can't make out rest of sentence]

Tom Absolutely. And that has merit. I was asked, "Tom, is Adolph Hitler in the light?" And I responded instantly and said, yes. "Huh? I thought the light was reserved for love?" Yep, that's right. "Now, wait a moment, Adolph Hitler was in the light?" A few decided it can't be, yeah, yeah. Again, you understand, it was how I was answering the question. [Woman says no, she didn't quite understand] Well, in other words, they weren't asking Adolph Hitler, the man but the essence, the entity. Are you following that? You're not.

Woman "I listened to you last night and you said it's next to impossible for them to get into the light for a long, long time. Now he did all those bad things, and then he committed suicide, so how's he in the light?" [Another woman said something about reincarnation--not audible]

Tom Williams I hear you say he's surrounded by light, not included.

Tom Right. See it started from: "Is there any essence in praying for a suicider?" And that's light, it functions as light-- You know, we're stuck in a problem with the word "light." The oneness--God--is where a suicider can't be, or not for a long period of time. The love like a prayer, which is light, can go to a suicider. That can also be in the light. [Tom was asked to say that again] I don't know if I can!

It would probably do well to say, to give forth the prefixes to the word light. To differentiate the omnipresence--see the light, the oneness, is omnipresent. You cannot have a place, such as purgatory, that is not in that light. You see, it is a contradiction. I'm contradicting myself. It's a one hundred percent paradox.

The light is there. Purgatory is down here. But that's not exactly true. Because the oneness, the light, that which is God, it's omnipresent. As I was standing before the light, and the tunnel was behind me, and yet instantly I knew that light was everything. That light included this ridiculous, filthy, gross tunnel, as well as this dopey guy standing there wondering--

Margaret Fields The energy that we send to them with the light, that we focus to them, what I have gotten is that that helps to heal them even when they're not in the physical body. And so therefore it is beneficial to send that light. [The woman said she understood that; it was just what she'd heard last night had sort of turned her off]

Tom Do yourself a favor. Stick with the description you heard last night. Don't get overly involved in what we're talking about right now. [Woman says she's not worrying about last night--she's not planning her suicide] But you're not comprehending quite exactly right. We're really bouncing back and forth between lights here. What is the description that you recall from last night? [Woman comments, cannot hear clearly. Something about astral planes]]

The earth plane, the lower astral plane hovering above it are all symbolism. That's all symbolism. See there ain't no place called that.

There's no direction. There's no above and below. Those are phrases we use symbolically. Higher is brighter, it's better, it's white. You know, that's all symbolism. It's simply based on our language.

You have gotten attached to the direct--like material symbolism. If heaven is up here and the earth plane is down here, then purgatory has to be slightly above the clouds but-- You know? What we're saying right now is maybe helpful to the slight confusion of where, and when, and how much? And again, stick with the description of last night.

Here we are on the earth plane, this is the surface of the earth, so then you see it ambiguously as the earth plane--it's where we function. Some angelic host can reach down into this level we can reach up to the angelic host level. So just slightly above, or mixed in that plane, is the lower astral plane, the purgatory, the hellish situation, where suiciders are kept. There is no difference between that, geographically and location-wise, and where we are right now, and where heaven is. *It's all right here. All within you.* Within you, you are included in heaven, purgatory, astral plane, earth plane, it's all within you and you are within all of that.

[Woman says she can understand that in abstract form]

Yes that's true. Now are you saying that that can be confusing because see, you think in terms of what did you say? There's the word, what does the word mean, what is the symbolism? See, what we're into right now, this is what telephy is for. Take the student-teacher relationship--and again there it's such a harsh symbolism. The teacher/student. And of course the ultimate teacher/student is the reverse because there's no difference. I mean symbolically or culturally. When the student becomes the teacher, the teacher will be a teacher, the teacher becomes a student and that means the student has been a teacher--and that's more accurate. It sounds even funnier and more confusing but that is more accurate.

[Woman says: "One more thing about suicides, is it different for kids in terms of--"]

Tom All right now, regarding-- Well, rather than to go off into one of my long orations, no. Basically speaking, no. The same perimeter is set. There

is a difference between ignorance and any degree of knowledge. But if a child commits suicide, and kills him or herself, is it really a suicide? There are circumstances where children have taken a gun and shot their head, and it was not a suicide.

Again, first you want to qualify that it is a suicide, with pre-knowledge and intention. That makes it a suicide. Under those circumstances, then it's the same thing. And they, the entity, that adorable little child which is not any longer an adorable little child but a spiritual entity. Of equal value and no different than an adult sized spiritual entity.

[Woman asks what happens to a suicide after they die?]

Tom What they do there is they're simply deprived of function.

[Different woman asks are they deprived of reincarnating?]

Tom That also. Here's a scenario. Many suiciders commit suicide to escape their problems. The saddest part of all is that not only do they bring their problems with them, but their problems are compounded. And worse than that, not only do they still have their problems, but they're denied the ability to work them out. Or to improve or correct them. Again there's a contradiction there between-- In other words I'm saying methodically by themselves, they cannot work them out, they cannot correct them. Because ultimately they will be cleansed. But see, that is from outside sources and forces. And as we think of the duration of time of a purgatory or hellish situation that there you've paid the price, okay here's the gate opening.

[Woman: So they're helpless to help themselves; but they can get help from other sources?]

Tom Yes. That is hopeful. But then I want to caution you regarding our measurements of time and our measurements of merit. Don't think at all that number one and number two suiciders--number two has nine thousand million people doing their personal *Om Shanties* for his benefit-- that it makes much difference. That he will be extricated sooner than, or with

less intensity than, number one.

Another Woman That brings a thought to mind. I got the impression somewhere along the line, from you or somebody else, that if you surround your prayers-- I mean a lot of people just say generalized prayers. But when you pray, I think is what I'm saying, when you pray it will be more effective when you put a lot of feeling into it, lot of intensity into it. Like a propulsion element. Is that true?

Tom Yeah, Certainly it is. The pure form of that is what you said in a way was ridiculous because *a prayer*; a single prayer is supposed to be that way. And ten thousand prayers are supposed to be that way. But what you're really saying is what we have a tendency of doing even polluting ourselves in the process of prayer. We're disturbing our-- Making grosser the function of praying. But again, when it comes to prayer there is an enhancement or an additional power in fervent prayer as opposed to casual prayer. And that narrows down to intent. If the intent is there, and you mumble words, that does not exclude the true power of that prayer, as long as the intent is there.

Woman Oh, boy, you really hit on it. I've been in churches where they get it right off a book. I find that very offensive. But I really don't know what's going on behind the scenes.

Tom Now let's say a priest, or a Rabbi, or a leader, is leading that prayer. And he or she was doing as you heard-- was doing a [mumble, mumble, mumble] What you can't do is be judgmental of that person. Granted the probability is that he or she is pulling a [mumble, mumble] but you can't be judgmental of that person. And if you're participating in that as a ritual and it's a mass or a church service, it's still one hundred percent available to you to enhance the mass or service.

Also, because we are so physical and psychological as well as spiritual, if you go to a ritual--let's say a ritual, meaning a service--and it's done as you like it, it has the ability to enhance you. But what is it that gets enhanced? Is it your psychology? [Woman says she feels it is her spirit.] And that does not need beautiful music compared to normal music or lively

music. It does not need great diction in the prayer as you're following it or reciting it. It doesn't need that. Again, you know, point your finger at the guy who's doing the speech and there'll be three fingers pointing back at you!

Woman You know, another thing, when I do this sort of thing, like when I pray for somebody to be healed and if I'm tired I do it very-- And I feel bad about myself. Is it a waste of time to just pray out of your head rather than your heart?

Tom Mentally and emotionally and psychologically it'll make a difference in you. And in that, which is you, if you have the intent, it works. If you had two prayers that you said that night in a decrepit condition and send them directly to somebody, they get them. You get a certain amount. If you are in a good physical, emotional, and psychological state, and you say two more prayers than at another time, you get a little more. The directional recipient still gets the two.

In other words, the intent was there, the recipient is not going to be denied the intent because of your foolishness of mental, emotional, and psychological decrepit condition. But you are responsible for being that, and you are denying yourself good physical conditioning, and you are therefore denying yourself the receipt of the love gesture, the degree, the intensity of the love gesture. You can't be as receptive in the gift giving efforts that you receive. In other words, the merit that you get from the function of giving.

Remember what I told you at the table. I was very serious about that. You're fighting a decrepit condition with that which you eat and that which you are. If you're in a decrepit condition late in the evening it will not be because of an ice cream cone. It won't be because of four ice cream cones. Just trust me on that for you. I may not be able to say that to somebody else because it may be a priority in their physiological conditioning that nourishment be--whatever. It may be affecting them, devastatingly. I'm looking at you and saying to you, that is not your priority, that is not your biggest problem. [Woman asks what is her biggest problem?] You've got a lot of other bigger problems! [Laughter from group]

Woman I want to know what my hangup is about being free to pray and know that it's effective, pray for the healing for people and know that it's effective. I pray, and I believe it's effective, but I want to know that it's effective!

Tom Okay. Being as much of a judgmental person as we all are so often, you might be a little bit more. You are also, in a way, spiritually speaking, being a little bit rude by asking for proof instead of relying on unconditional faith. You are also very hard, disciplinary wise, on you and your whole self, your physical self, your emotional self, your psychological self. You're very hard on yourself. You have been for awhile. And that is one of the reasons why I told you, "Have the ice cream! Have the ice cream as a treat and say, 'you're okay, you're beautiful!'"

That will have a greater impact on the harshness that you are physiologically. Even if you gain a pound, that is meaningless, if it means that you will be able to enhance your own self esteem, your own impressions, and you will alleviate the harshness on yourself. You will then be receptive and you will then get the measurement that you now say, "Hey, come on I want to see it, where is the measurement?" That may even take a little time. Simply love yourself a little more. We are too hard on ourselves. what I'm telling you, I'm guilty of! Do as I say, not as I do.

[Man in audience made long comment, not audible]

Tom Well for instance they come up to me and they say something like that. I want to address that in two ways. If they proclaim that they are healers to someone who might be a recipient of their healing, that's sad in a way because that's deception. Even if it's subconscious or not, unintentional or not, and the probability is that there will not be a very measurable healing.

[Long comment from same man in audience]

Tom Well, first of all that statement is one of those statements that is a basic truth because anybody can be a healer. And also, anybody can be a healer at any time. Now not as a profession, and maybe they're not in

control enough to be receptive enough, to be mentally enough, to be able to heal on an ongoing basis. They may be a real troglodyte. But even a troglodyte can be used as a channel for any type of healing. Again, the basic statement is, is that a true statement? At the moment, and in the conditions that the person is in, that may be an untruth. But by the time you've finished saying that, it is true because... there can be a healing.

[Comments from man and woman not audible]

Tom Hold on for a second. The reality of that situation is, and I know that there are people walking up and down the hallway seeing either cute little girls or nice adult guys, or whatever, and saying--either as an introduction to friendship, or an introduction to brag or of their own personal ego-- ah! I happened to notice you. You could be a healer. And it's nothing at all to do with them honestly portraying to that person that, have you ever considered, or you are lovely, or you can be a healer.

Then it's only that person walking up and down the hallway saying, "Excuse me, excuse me. He noticed me. He noticed me." I've seen that and I know that. As far as how detrimental that can be to the person who is told that by the person who is really just bragging as to who they are, that they recognizing in you that you could be a healer. They're playing that psychological game, well, let me compliment you to get by friendship or to have you recognize me as a superior and that you could be a healer and that builds your self esteem. But now that you've had your self esteem built, you have to notice that I am superior to you because I recognized you or I chose you. That kind of attitude.

[Woman comments and asks questions]

Tom All right, and again, the psychic giving a reading, the greatest psychic in the world, cannot be psychic twenty-four hours a day. You have room in there to either role-play, or outright be acting--it's under the heading of deceit. As it is intended and said, it is not a true statement. But now, here is the person getting that reading. Let's make an assumption that the psychic giving the reading is in a decrepit position and, just because this one is scheduled at two o'clock, he has an obligation to fulfill that

appointment. Okay.

What is the effect on the person getting the reading? Well, the person getting the reading has a responsibility on themselves to accept or reject the entire reading. But more specifically, since they're talking about, "Ah, ha, you have the ability to be a healer. Have you ever considered being a healer? I feel that you can be a healer." All right.

What is the effect on that person? Even if that is an untruthful statement regarding being a healer, that is not going to harm that person. Even if they hear that with their ears and say, "Wow, I can be a healer! I'm going to go to the store--" Now that may be a waste of time, realistically speaking, but that individual is not going to be harmed as a healer, and they may not ever be a healer. They always have the potential. Again, where's the harm in that? There's less harm in that--

[Several people in audience commented on various aspects of healing. One woman asked about trying to heal someone whether they wanted to be healed or not]

Tom Generally speaking, I agree with what you said. Now I'll specifically say, you're absolutely wrong because there is manipulative healing. I'm not using that word maliciously. I'm telling you this because I just had proof for me. I know--K-N-O-W--that there is, what I call, manipulative healing. In other words, you are not the least bit interested in seeing me, being near me, or knowing my name, or anything else, you are in a decrepit shape in need of a healing. You don't believe in any of that crap, in fact, somebody mentioned it to you and therefore you've put up a huge mental block. Now, you are protected from that which you really do not want to receive, but if it is only a psychological block, and, that spiritually speaking, it would be good, and it would be okay, and there's a permissiveness for you to receive a healing, then I can do that.

In other words, mentally, psychologically, and even emotionally, you cannot be bothered by me. If I'm going, you need a healing whether you want one or not, I'm going to--you're protected from that. And I can't bother you. You can totally block me out. But there is a process by which there is manipulative healing and they can be a channel for that, to facilitate that. And then, figuratively speaking, that healing energy goes up beyond your

psychological comprehension--it can't go through that, it can't affect that, you will not even know it. And then on those other levels, or whatever, it is therefore facilitated.

[Man asked about magnetic healing?]

Tom Well yes it is regarding the function of magnetic healing, in that the process by which there is a facilitation of any of that has nothing at all to do with your psychological attitudes, and problems, and inequities, and outright decisions. In other words, mentally, I don't like you any more, I don't want to associate with you. You can still facilitate a love gesture toward me.

[Woman asks if it's a manipulative healing; will it last?]

Tom The probability is that the person we're describing that is shunning the healing and so on, if they're in disease and if they receive a manipulative healing that they'll regain that disease. Because they're bringing it onto them. Of all the people that would fit into that category a very large portion of that they can receive a healing and assist them and help them and that alone will knock down some of the psychological inequities that is promoting this disease. [Long comment from a man in audience. Not audible]

[End of Tape C-1] [Beginning of Tape C-2]

Tom Let me answer this way with a hypothetical scenario. The spouse, a woman, needed a healing. Could not cure herself of the situation. It wasn't even a major disease. The other spouse, the guy, had the ability to give her that healing--the desire, the energy, and so on. She was however blocking, psychologically, because of psychological problems. The healing took place when the guy yelled at her. I mean yelled, chastised her, put her in her place. Broke the psychological thing. She was requiring assistance, she was hanging on to the psychological deprivation, her psychological problem. She was all hung up, and she needed a crack in the rear end, so to speak.

And it was facilitated by yelling at her. "Now listen stupid, this is

ridiculous, you're only hurting yourself." The point of this was, here's all these fancy processes and so on and everything else, and they can get so confusing. Because like you said [to the man], gee, we've got all this channeling, channeling, channeling. Now how does this fit into magnetism and so on? So many of those things have merit. Some are stronger than others, some are better than others, but they all have merit. The chances of using magnetism to cure certain circumstances -- and that's okay.

Now for me to go to a person who's in a decrepit state because of MS and yell at them would be asinine. It's not going to work. And something as subtle and quiet and unobtrusive as magnetism will be able to get through. It all depends on what is offered, being given, and the receptivity. The problem with the receptivity of the person to be healed is that the receptivity is contingent on all of the different basic characteristics of that individual.

And again, we're always a block here. Is it psychological, emotional, spiritual, or what? That has to be dealt with before a healing can take place. Allowing for a manipulative type healing, that it can be channeled around, or over, or beyond. And then, in doing that (like I answered you), there is a probability that a great portion of those people--that it will be facilitated and received. But if there's been no correction at all for the psychological blockage, it will still be there and, therefore, bring in the disease right away.

[Woman states that she feels real uncomfortable asking people if she could pray for them or send them a healing. What's wrong with that?]

Tom Well, on an ongoing basis, I do like the idea of asking permission. In other words if you're in a group situation or if you are alone with a friend or someone-- Yeah, if you're a little inhibited in doing that, that's your problem. The reason for asking permission is to create a receptivity of the person. [Woman said she didn't want to come off sounding holier than thou by asking permission.] That doesn't really matter because if in fact you do portray that to them--then too bad! It's not even your problem if there's a no thank you. [Woman said great! That helps!]

Another Woman You've made good points many times today and yesterday.

But there's something that troubles me a lot. We've heard that there are possibly big changes coming up. As a mother and a teacher of adolescent young people, what is the proper way for the church-- Is there a way to talk with children about the situation? Does it bring fear from us?

Tom The things that we talked about regarding younger children, even young adults, but with young adults you have to then-- What are the young adults? Are they adult intellectually, still like a young child? But let's get down to say nine, ten year olds for accurate range. Regarding things of that nature, I would recommend that you do not discuss things of that intensity, especially the negative characteristics. That's not deceit, that's not denying them the truth, it's simply protecting their ignorance.

If I could write a book on telekinetic energy, I would never dare give it to a young child, mainly because of the potential. Similar to that, the things of that devastating a nature, they are not going to be able to be that receptive and have the ability to do anything at all about it. At least until they reach adulthood.

But getting up to thirteen, fourteen, fifteen years old, okay, that's getting chronologically old enough for the probability to be that they will be receptive now. Kids are really smart nowadays. They are intelligent, but psychologically where are they? And emotionally where are they? Let me put it this way, and I'm only using this example because he's my son Tim. My son Tim would be able to sit here the entire day, comprehend everything, and be perfectly okay. My great big, strong, macho, eighteen-year-old son, Todd, would be disturbed. There's the difference between thirteen and eighteen years old. Todd's going into the Army in November. You know I'm purposely using them as examples where there's salt and pepper.

[Woman said she was still disturbed at the responsibility. Do we have a responsibility to the young people who will inherit this situation?]

Tom You know the phrase, "when the student is ready the teacher will come." But how much promoting should the teacher do? I'm really kind of saying that, no, I basically disagree with your "professing"--in other words promoting the subject--that kind of knowledge to and toward younger

people.

Actually, I don't even like the idea of suggesting and promoting discussions with someone your own age, and character--in other words an equal to you, unless there's a question. In other words, if they aren't invited to come and discuss these things yet, but for the purpose of just passing on this information--no. I think there's the possibility of a negative attitude. A shunning and negative attitude, and therefore, additional blockage to the next month or the next year.

[Woman says, "That's what I'm talking about. Do we have a responsibility to family and friends who wish to talk about these things?"]

[Before Tom had a chance to answer, there were conversations among the people, very noisy and confusing. Someone asked Tom about a specific case where a young man was being held for murder. Tom replies but I can't hear him clearly. I never heard anything like it; the way spontaneous talking sprang up all over the room. Underneath I could hear Tom talking to another man, but not audible enough to get any consecutive words. This lasted five or six minutes]

Tom . . . she went out to see him and became malicious in her attempts to rape him. She was verbally abusing him and accusing him. He was trying to get away, trying to leave. She was holding him back and demanding-- Then they were fighting and struggling. In the struggle of trying to get away, he grabbed a knife and stabbed her with it--it was right on the counter. What I'm saying is the trial, and the "Oh he broke this poor girl's heart, and he stabbed her to death." He was so drunk.

The point is he was guilty of manslaughter and he's in jail. [not clear] They have him convinced that he killed her. In other words, that he went there and murdered her. Yet they don't know and will never know for sure exactly what happened. Now I'm guilty (this has been a long time ago) I'm guilty of not following up on this. What I was mainly interested in was the psychological reparation in the sentence this boy would receive. I passed the information on. They have the information, it's not really my job. . . I'm concerned about the lack of truth, and the truth was available. That wouldn't make any difference in the girl's life, she's dead. It won't make

any difference in his sentencing, that doesn't matter. I mean there he is, being incarcerated and punished for a crime which he participated in but the truth is not known.

He's being charged with--he's guilty of not the exact charge that he's charged with. He's guilty of involuntary manslaughter. If he can do a jail sentence for that, I don't want to get involved in the punishment and such things. But the truth isn't there. And nobody knows the truth. And it isn't going to make any difference to the girl's mother; it may make a difference to the boy's mother. And it will certainly make a difference to the boy.

I mean here's the scenario that I've felt so negative-- Here's the object. Then he was drunk and it wasn't his girlfriend anyway. She was attacking him! She was seducing him, she was actually raping him. She was fighting him, she was verbally abusing him, and he was trying to get away. And in the struggle to get away, he took the knife off the counter top and stabbed her. The newspaper articles later on -- You know there was the story of the trial and so on. And what I had written down, even the sentences-- In fact I've got a better recollection of the dialogue that took place in the kitchen which he can't remember--especially in the drunken state he was in. I got a better dialogue of what took place. Anyway, that was passed on, but they won't do anything about it.

[Question: Did this come through psychic channels?]

Tom Well, it was intuitive knowledge but it was not on the psychic level at all. In other words, it doesn't go through anything psychic. When I use the phrase "intuitive knowledge"--I got a bit or a piece of intuitive knowledge--that was nothing psychic at all. And then of course the other things that I do say psychically. That you're functioning within the confines of, or using the phrases of clairvoyantly or whatever. In fact, some of the things that I say are psychic are really not.

But again, that's not so important because if I do give or relay something it's to give you the idea of what took place and the truth of the matter. If you listen--in fact I've heard a couple of my tapes--I am really very specific when I say, well it was a clairvoyant function! Now that means it was a clairvoyant function. But then an exact function I'll describe another time and will say it was clairvoyantly. And that's very,

very different. Again, it's not that important. I'm telling you what took place. But I'm also being very specific when I say the word clairvoyantly. That doesn't mean it was a clairvoyant function.

Woman I would like to ask you to go a little further with that. We are at a conference where the word psychic is all over the place. I'd like to get clarification if you could--either intuitively or psychically!

Tom I used the phrase intuitively or intuitive knowledge actually incorrectly. When I use the phrase "intuitive knowledge" I mean that I don't have it at all in me but that it comes to me, intuitively bypassing or not having anything to do with psychic anything, from the light. For you to know and feel something intuitively, that's like from your heart to the message center in your brain.

Woman What is psychic stuff? Is that just like different little tools, or different little windows that may be opened when you receive any kind of information?

Tom Well, the way I use the word psychic and so on, I'm usually using it to describe what the function was like. Or, how did I do, or how did I receive that? In other words, I say clairvoyantly; that means that with my mind's eye first with my brain or having a request or demand on my part. Therefore, with my mind I then go clairvoyantly into that function which is a psychic function. In other words, in a psychic way.

Another Woman I have a different type of question and it's about one of the teachings of the Buddha-- [It sounds like she says "annaha" but I'm not sure] The only way that I have been able to understand that as it is written is that you can't really understand it. What it seems to me is that when--take that homogeneous experience of the light and your experience, then there is no separate identity that Tom Sawyer-- And that is what the Buddha meant-- [It sounds like "annaha"]

Tom Yeah, I basically agree with that. Yeah, that sounds okay. Right, because anything less than that, there is individual identity.

Woman And that's what reincarnates? I guess ultimate light enlightens being in the light.

[Another woman asks how he would describe the issue of money and those that charge specific fees for different psychic functions]

Tom Oh, God! In a way that saddens me but how much better is it to deny a person the ability to seek-- All right. First they are seeking love or an ability to express their love and then they pay an exorbitant amount of money for the opportunity to do that, or to get a reading, or go to a healing, or to be a healer, or go to a class for this or that.

If there is prior knowledge that they are not going to receive what they're paying for, that's sad. How much of a loss is that to the person who is seeking to find or get love? Yes it is a financial loss but is it that much of a loss for their search for their ability or inability to love? [Woman asked when something was considered a spiritual violation?]

Well of course what it is is deceit. At first under the heading of deceit and then also it owns manipulation, it's a sin, and ultimately in that person's life review they're going to have to go through the experience of all the disappointments as subtle as they may be, because a person who is seeking love is lovely and they are going to experience some loveliness of that which they took advantage of.

[Different woman asked a long involved question]

Tom Well, generally I'll disagree with that typical phrase of using that as a-- Well, if you lend someone money, don't ask for it back. You know what they are saying. Be humble, be patient, and so on. But if you love that person enough to want to assist them and help them in their responsibility of living up to their obligations--that was my son, and my daughter, and my wife--well, I still think you have an obligation to not only ask them to fulfill their obligation and for the money back, but demand it and do anything necessary to get it. Even if it be only for the purposes of teaching them the lesson of, hey, this is wrong. You can't do this to me! [Woman talks at length, but not audible enough to transcribe]

Tom No, first of all I think you were very right. You did a good survey. You know, I hate to say it but I think that's your problem. Because you want to be so lovely and it's hurting you that in this human condition we can't always be lovely. In other words, you can't always just love. You do have to demand. You have to stand up and be counted, noticed, for what is right and just.

Because we don't just live on love. We use things--there's material things and we use money for barter and all of that. We are all wrapped up in this society. And you have to function as normally as possible in that society and in that capacity--love. And you were denied that. And I think what you did was exactly right. You not only helped yourself--you went through the suit and pursued your interest, but you were also in the process of, through love, helping her ultimately. [Woman said something else in response to that]

Tom Now that has nothing to do with you though. You made the effort and you got the merit for that, you loved her. Now if she is compelled and continues to do this, you've done your part. You can't take all the responsibility for another individual! They've got the right to accept and reject everything. Just like you do.

Again, because of that love, you are still attached to her and you wish her well. And it saddens you to see her continue with this thing which is negative and will keep going bad. That's too bad for you and you are going to have to reject the fact that you cannot love her, or control her, or change her. That is her responsibility and she has taken the responsibility to reject that which you did for her. [Woman commented again, at length, about someone having a drinking and smoking problem, and smoking marijuana]

Tom I also agree with you. Now have you said this--told this person? Again, to love and assist those people, you may have to make them realize that a promise to do something is fruitless. To do something is fruitful. I really recommend that you do force the issue, even if it means stop giving any donations, and even if you have to disassociate yourself.

I've become quite fussy in the last couple of years regarding the amount of cigarette smoke and intoxicants and things like that to which I am exposed. First of all my tolerance is next to nil. If right now I took five drops of an ordinary white wine I would be inebriated right through tomorrow night. I would not be able to operate any motor vehicles or anything. It's not physiological, it's physical. My tolerance is-- It's crazy. Yeah, I've become really quite assertive regarding people around me-- I say, "If you feel it necessary to smoke while at my house would you go outside or at least go to the bathroom and turn the fan on? Because if you feel it's that necessary to do that it's okay, but because you're affecting me, it's not okay. I appreciate your handicap, but you don't have to force it onto me."

And you know [to woman] I'm suggesting that to you. And of course, to indulge in a toke of marijuana does not surprise me, does not scare me, but to do it habitually or possibly to be addicted to the habit or the drug itself--that's not right. [End of Tape 3-B]